

I hereby give notice that a meeting of Horizons Regional Council will be held on:

Date: Tuesday, 25 June 2019
Time: 10.00am
Venue: Tararua Room Horizons Regional Council
11-15 Victoria Avenue
Palmerston North

REGIONAL COUNCIL

AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chair	Cr EB Gordon JP
Deputy Chair	Cr PW Rieger, QSO JP
Councillors	Cr JJ Barrow
	Cr LR Burnell, QSM
	Cr DB Cotton
	Cr RJ Keedwell
	Cr GM McKellar
	Cr JM Naylor
	Cr NJ Patrick
	Cr BE Rollinson
	Cr CI Sheldon
	Cr WK Te Awe Awe

Michael McCartney
Chief Executive

Contact Telephone: 0508 800 800
Email: help@horizons.govt.nz
Postal Address: Private Bag 11025,
Palmerston North 4442

Full Agendas are available on Horizons Regional Council website
www.horizons.govt.nz

for further information regarding this agenda, please contact:
Julie Kennedy, 06 9522 800

CONTACTS	24 hr Freephone : 0508 800 800	help@horizons.govt.nz	www.horizons.govt.nz	
SERVICE CENTRES	Kairanga Cnr Rongotea & Kairanga-Bunnythorpe Rds, Palmerston North	Marton 19-21 Hammond Street	Taumarunui 34 Maata Street	Woodville Cnr Vogel (SH2) & Tay Sts
REGIONAL HOUSES	Palmerston North 11-15 Victoria Avenue	Whanganui 181 Guyton Street		
DEPOTS	Levin 120-122 Hokio Beach Rd	Taihape 243 Wairanu Rd		
POSTAL ADDRESS	Horizons Regional Council, Private Bag 11025, Manawatu Mail Centre, Palmerston North 4442			
FAX	06 9522 929			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	WELCOME / KARAKIA	5
2	APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE	5
3	PUBLIC FORUMS / DEPUTATIONS / PETITIONS	5
4	SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS	5
5	MEMBER'S CONFLICT OF INTEREST	5
6	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	
	Regional Council meeting, 28 May 2019	7
7	CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPORT	
8	MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION	
8.1	Existing Intensive Farming Land Uses Plan Change (Plan Change 2)	
	<i>Report No: 19-103</i>	69
	<i>Annex A - Proposed Wording for Plan Change 2</i>	75
	<i>Annex B - Evaluation of the Objectives of the Plan Change Required by Section 32 RMA (Supplementary)</i>	89
8.2	Zero Carbon Bill	
	<i>Report No: 19-104</i>	91
	<i>Annex A - Draft Horizons Submission on the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill</i>	96
8.3	Childcare Allowance - Determination 2019-20	
	<i>Report No: 19-105</i>	99
	<i>Annex A - Clause 14 Childcare Allowance</i>	101
8.4	Energy Efficiency 'Green' Programme - Update	
	<i>Report No: 19-106</i>	103
8.5	Affixing of the Common Seal	
	<i>Report No: 19-107</i>	105
8.6	Councillors' Workshop Attendance - 22 May 2019 to 18 June 2019	
	<i>Report No: 19-108</i>	107
	<i>Annex A - Workshop Attendance 22 May - 18 June 2019</i>	108
9	PRESENTATIONS	
9.1	Presentation: Naming of Victoria Avenue Development Building	
	<i>Report No: 19-109</i>	111

10 COMMITTEE REPORTS

- | | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 10.1 | Report of Regional Transport Committee Meeting - Receive and Adopt Resolutions and Recommendations - 5 June 2019 | 113 |
| 10.2 | Report of Manawatu River Users' Advisory Group Meeting - Receive and Adopt Resolutions and Recommendations - 5 June 2019 | 117 |
| 10.3 | Report of Catchment Operations Committee Meeting - Receive and Adopt Resolutions and Recommendations - 11 June 2019 | 121 |
| 10.4 | Report of Strategy & Policy Committee Meeting - Receive and Adopt Resolutions and Recommendations - 12 June 2019 | 127 |
| 10.5 | Report of Passenger Transport Committee Meeting - Receive and Adopt Resolutions and Recommendations - 18 June 2019 (<i>Supplementary</i>) | |

11 MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

12 PART TWO – PUBLIC EXCLUDED SECTION

- | | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 12.1 | Procedural motion to exclude the public | 131 |
| PX1 | Confirmation of Public Excluded Meeting held on 28 May 2019 | |
| PX2 | Council to consider whether any item in the Public Excluded minutes can be moved into the public domain, and define the extent of the release. | |
| PX3 | Members' Questions | |

AGENDA

1 WELCOME / KARAKIA

2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

At the close of the Agenda no apologies had been received.

3 PUBLIC FORUMS: Are designed to enable members of the public to bring matters, not on that meeting's agenda, to the attention of the local authority.

DEPUTATIONS: Are designed to enable a person, group or organisation to speak to an item on the agenda of a particular meeting.

Requests for Public Forums / Deputations must be made to the meeting secretary by 12 noon on the working day before the meeting. The person applying for a Public Forum or a Deputation must provide a clear explanation for the request which is subsequently approved by the Chairperson.

PETITIONS: Can be presented to the local authority or any of its committees, so long as the subject matter falls within the terms of reference of the council or committee meeting being presented to.

Written notice to the Chief Executive is required at least 5 working days before the date of the meeting. Petitions must contain at least 20 signatures and consist of fewer than 150 words (not including signatories).

Further information is available by phoning 0508 800 800.

4 SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

To consider, and if thought fit, to pass a resolution to permit the Committee/Council to consider any further items relating to items following below which do not appear on the Order Paper of this meeting and/or the meeting to be held with the public excluded.

Such resolution is required to be made pursuant to Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended), and the Chairperson must advise:

- (i) The reason why the item was not on the Order Paper, and
- (ii) The reason why the discussion of this item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

5 MEMBER'S CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Members are reminded of their obligation to declare any conflicts of interest they might have in respect of the items on this Agenda.

Minutes of the twenty-ninth meeting of the tenth triennium of Horizons Regional Council held at 10.00am on Tuesday 28 May 2019, in the Tararua Room Horizons Regional Council, 11-15 Victoria Avenue, Palmerston North.

PRESENT Crs EB Gordon JP (Chair), LR Burnell QSM (via audio visual link), DB Cotton, RJ Keedwell, GM McKellar, JM Naylor, NJ Patrick, PW Rieger QSO JP, BE Rollinson, CI Sheldon, WK Te Awe Awe.

IN ATTENDANCE Acting Chief Executive Dr N Peet
Group Manager
Corporate and Governance Mr C Grant
Committee Secretary Mrs JA Kennedy

ALSO PRESENT At various times during the meeting:
Dr J Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources & Partnerships), Mr R Strong (Group Manager River Management), Mr G Shirley (Group Manager Regional Services and Information), Mrs K Tongs (Governance Co-ordinator), Mrs L Macdonald (Chief Financial Officer), Mr S Mancer, Ms E Bethel, Mrs A Calman (Management Accountants), Ms Matthews (Manager Science & Innovation), Mr I Lowe Manager Emergency Management Office), (Mrs C Hesselin (Senior Communications Advisor), Science Team Staff, and a member of the press.

WELCOME

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and invited Cr Te Awe Awe to say a Karakia.

APOLOGIES

Leave of Absence had been granted to Cr Barrow.

PUBLIC FORUMS / DEPUTATIONS / PETITIONS

There were no requests for public speaking rights.

SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

There were no supplementary items to be considered.

The Chair noted the updated copy of Item 8.1, Adoption of the 2019-20 Annual Plan Rate Setting and Administrative Charges Confirmation for 2019-20, Report No. 19-71(a), and a Memo dated 23 May 2019, Live Streaming of Meetings.

MEMBERS' CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

19-450

Moved

Keedwell/Rieger

That the minutes of the Regional Council meeting held on 30 April 2019 as circulated, be confirmed as a correct record.

CARRIED

CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPORT

Dr Peet (Acting Chief Executive) introduced the Chief Executive's report and took it as read.

19-451 **Moved** **Gordon/Te Awe Awe**

That the Chief Executive's report be received.

CARRIED

RECEIPT AND ADOPTION OF COMMITTEE RESOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

REPORT OF STRATEGY & POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING - DELIBERATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS TO THE PROPOSED ANNUAL PLAN 2019-20 - RECEIVE AND ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS - 30 APRIL 2019

The Chair referred to recommendation PAP 19-7 xiii), "that an additional \$75,000 is added to the Regional Freshwater Grants (RFG) programme". He proposed that the recommendation made at the 30 April 2019 Strategy & Policy Committee not be accepted and explained why. The Chief Financial Officer took Members through a spreadsheet depicting three scenarios for funding to the RFG programme and explained the corresponding rate percentage for each one. The Chair proposed a further recommendation to remove \$75,000 from the RFG programme.

Members considered the proposed recommendation and expressed their views. In the event that the proposed recommendation was not adopted, Cr Naylor foreshadowed a further recommendation in support of \$50,000 to be added to the RFG programme, seconded by Cr Patrick. Cr Keedwell summarised her support for initially moving that the \$75,000 be added to the RFG programme and foreshadowed that the full amount remain. Members supported the RFG fund but were mindful of keeping the rate increase below 5%. The Chair put the proposed recommendation. A division was called.

19-452 **Moved** **Gordon/Rieger**

That the Council proposes to remove the additional \$75,000 allocated to the Regional Freshwater Grants programme (proposed at the Strategy & Policy Draft Annual Plan deliberations meeting held on 30 April 2019, recommendation PAP 19-7). This will see the average rates increase across the region sit at 4.88%.

Against: Crs Cotton, Keedwell, Naylor, Patrick, Te Awe Awe

For: Crs McKellar, Rieger, Rollinson, Sheldon, Burnell, Gordon

CARRIED

19-453 **Moved** **Rollinson/Gordon**

That the Council receives and adopts the resolutions and recommendations of the Strategy & Policy Committee meeting, Deliberations on Submissions to the Proposed Annual Plan 2019-20, held on 30 April 2019, except for resolution PAP-19-7.

CARRIED

(see resolution 19-452 above)

Cr Rieger moved that the Strategy & Policy Committee minutes of 14 May 2019 be received.

REPORT OF STRATEGY & POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING - RECEIVE RESOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS - 14 MAY 2019

19-454 **Moved** **Rieger/Gordon**
That the Council receives the resolutions and recommendations of the Strategy & Policy Committee meeting held on 14 May 2019.
CARRIED

Cr Naylor moved that the Strategy & Policy Committee recommendations and resolutions of 14 May 2019 be adopted, seconded by Cr Keedwell. The Chair declared the recommendation was now open for discussion.

The Chair spoke to a memo dated 23 May 2019 and summarised his concerns at the cost to Council to live stream Council meetings along with all standing committees. He foreshadowed a recommendation to live stream Council meetings only. Members provided their views either in support of or against the proposed recommendation, and sought clarification around staff time and costs. There was a further foreshadowed recommendation to live stream all Council meetings and standing committees, with the exception of the Audit, Risk & Investment Committee and the Manawatu River Users' Advisory Group meeting.

The Chair put the original recommendation from the 14 May 2019 Strategy & Policy Committee minutes, SP 19-145 c.ii. that the Committee recommends that Council live stream Council meetings along with all standing committees. A division was called.

Moved **Gordon/Rieger**
That the Council:
Adopts recommendation SP 19-145 c.ii. as per the 14 May 2019 Strategy & Policy Committee minutes.
Against – Cotton, Naylor, Rieger, Sheldon, Rollinson, Gordon, Burnell
For: Crs Keedwell, McKellar, Patrick, Te Awe Awe
LOST

The Chair called for a seconder to his foreshadowed motion to live stream Council meetings only. Members provided their views either in support of or against the motion. The Chair confirmed that as per recommendation SP 19-145 d. from the 14 May Strategy & Policy Committee meeting, the Chief Executive would report back in six months regarding use and costs and system improvements. He also confirmed that a new Council could overturn any decision made.

A division was called.

19-455 **Moved** **Gordon/Rollinson**
That the Council live stream Regional Council meetings only.
Against: Crs Keedwell, Naylor, Patrick, Te Awe Awe
For: Crs Cotton, McKellar, Rieger, Rollinson, Sheldon, Gordon, Burnell
CARRIED

REPORT OF ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE MEETING - RECEIVE AND ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS - 14 MAY 2019

19-456

Moved

McKellar/Cotton

That the Council receives and adopts the resolutions and recommendations of the Environment Committee meeting held on 14 May 2019.

CARRIED

ADOPTION OF THE 2019-20 ANNUAL PLAN, RATE SETTING, AND ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES CONFIRMATION FOR 2019-20 V2

Report No 19-71(a)

This report outlined the procedures for the approval and adoption of the 2019-20 Annual Plan, the consequential setting of the 2019-20 rates, and the confirmation of the 2019-20 administration charges. Mrs Macdonald (Chief Financial Officer) introduced the item and summarised the changes that would be made to the Water Quality and Quantity Rate tables as a result of a recommendation made earlier in the meeting (Recommendation 19-452) to remove the additional \$75,000 allocated to the Regional Freshwater Grants Programme (proposed at the Strategy & Policy Draft Annual Plan deliberations meeting held on 30 April 2019, Recommendation PAP 19-7). Although the recommendations would not change, the new table relating to Water Quality and Quantity Rate has been inserted below to provide clarity. Annex A – Annual Funding Impact Statement for the Year Ending 30 June 2020, with updated Water Quality and Quantity Rate table, and Annex B – Annual Administration Charges for the Year Ending 30 June 2020 have been annexed to the minutes.

Before the Chair put the recommendations Cr Naylor proposed an additional recommendation (c.i) for Council to allocate an additional \$50,000 to the Regional Freshwater Grants programme.

The Chair put the recommendations, except the proposed c.i which would be considered separately.

Water Quality and Quantity Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0001093	728,482
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0001093	900,184
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0001093	1,995,040
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0001093	9,267
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0001093	195
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0001093	6,905
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0001093	468,523
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0001093	455,959
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0001093	605,054
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0001093	724,143
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		5,893,754

19-457

Moved

Naylor/Keedwell

It is recommended that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-71(a) and Annexes;*
- b. *acknowledges the submissions and deliberations process;*
- c. *acknowledges the amendments to the proposed 2019-20 Annual Plan budget recommended at the Strategy and Policy Committee Deliberations meeting on 30 April 2019, and approved, along with any additional amendments, at the Regional Council meeting, on 28 May 2019, together with typographical, arithmetical and presentation amendments, have been incorporated in the Annual Plan document,*
- d. *adopts the 2019-20 Annual Plan;*
- e. *requests the Chief Executive to prepare the final 2019-20 Annual Plan for publication;*
- f. *requests the Chief Executive to formally advise submitters of its decisions, addressing the individual items raised by submitters in their written submissions;*

It is recommended, for setting of rates, that the Council:

- g. *acknowledges that the rates, the subject of this report, relate to the financial year 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020, and are all GST inclusive;*
- h. *resolves pursuant to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 (the Act) to set the rates for the financial year 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020 with a due date of 27 September 2019, as follows:*
 - i. *to set under section 15(1)(a) of the Act, a Uniform Annual General Charge of \$45.04 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
 - ii. *to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Biosecurity rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
 - iii. *to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, an Emergency Management rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
 - iv. *to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, an Information rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
 - v. *to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Resource & Consent Monitoring rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
 - vi. *to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a River and Drainage rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;*

- vii. to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Strategic Management rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- viii. to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Water Quality and Quantity rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- ix. to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Regional Transport Planning and Road Safety rate on the capital value of the rating unit, on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- x. to set under sections 16(3)(b) and (4)(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Transport Passenger Services (including Total Mobility) rate made on every rating unit within the specified district, on the capital value of the rating unit and on a differential basis depending on where the land is situated, as detailed in Annex A to this report;
- xi. to set under section 16(3)(a), (4)(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Drinking Water Monitoring & Research rate of \$1.05 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- xii. to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, an Infrastructure Insurance rate made on every rating unit with a capital value up to and including per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit (SUIP), and as detailed in Annex A (Detailed Rates Information) of this report (as per the table below);

Property Type	Annual Charge dollars per SUIP
Up to and including \$250,000 Capital Value	2.19
Greater than \$250,000 and up to and incl \$500,000 Capital Value	4.37
Greater than \$500,000 and up to and incl \$1,000,000 Capital Value	6.56
Greater than \$1,000,000 Capital Value	28.42

- xiii. to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Sustainable Land Use Initiative rate of \$38.94 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- xiv. to set under sections 16(3)(a) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, an Environmental Initiatives rate of \$22.15 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- xv. to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Production Pest Animal Management rate made on every rating unit which is four hectares and over, of an amount of \$0.87 per hectare as detailed in Annex A of this report;
- xvi. to set under section 16(3)(b) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Lake Horowhenua Restoration rate made on every rating unit within the Levin District, of an amount of \$11.22 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit and as detailed in Annex A of this report;

- xvii. *to set under sections 16(3) (b) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Production Pest Rook Management rate made on every rating unit which is four hectares and over, of an amount of \$0.08 per hectare, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
- xviii. *to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Production Pest Plant Management rate made on every rating unit which is four hectares and over, of an amount of \$0.02 per hectare, and on every rating unit under four hectares, of an amount of \$1.77 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
- xix. *to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(b), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Rangitikei Old Man's Beard Eradication rate made on every rating unit four hectares and over within the Rangitikei District, of an amount of \$34.18 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit (SUIP), and on every rating unit under four hectares within the Rangitikei District of an amount of \$9.20 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, and as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
- xx. *to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Regional Park rate made on every rating unit within the district of Manawatū or Palmerston North City of an amount of \$4.33 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit and as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
- xxi. *to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Manawatū River Water Quality Improvement rate made on every rating unit within the Manawatū Catchment (as defined by roll number) of an amount of \$8.16 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
- xxii. *to set under sections 16(3)(b) and 4(a), 17 and 18 of the Act, a Waitarere Beach Community Biodiversity Project rate made on every rating unit within the Waitarere Beach Community (as defined by Waitarere Beach Community roll number 14780) of an amount of \$9.36 per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit as detailed in Annex A of this report;*
- xxiii. *to set under sections 16(3)(b) and (4)(b), 17, 18, and 146 of the Act, the River and Drainage Scheme Rates, made on each rating unit contained within each Scheme, according to their respective classification systems or differential rating bases, and as detailed in Annex A of this report. Any rate shown as "\$ per SUIP" is applied uniformly per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit;*
- i. *resolves that pursuant to sections 24, 57 and 58 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 (the Act), that all rates for the 2019/20 rating year must be paid on or before 27 September 2019 due date, with a penalty of 10% to be added on 25 October 2019 on all such rates remaining unpaid on that date. Further penalties, each of 10%, shall also be added, as follows:*
 - i. *on any rates (including penalties) assessed or added in any previous year which remain unpaid on 1 July 2019, or five working days after the resolution, whichever is the later, to be added on 12 July 2019;*
 - ii. *on any rates (including penalties) assessed or added in any previous year which remain unpaid on 1 January 2020, to be added on 25 January 2020;*

- j. sets under its Prompt Payment Policy as adopted under section 55 of the Rating Act, a 3% prompt payment discount for ratepayers who pay all their rates for the financial year in full on or before the due date of 27 September 2019; and

It is recommended, for the setting of Annual Administration Charges, that the Council:

- k. adopts the administrative charges for 2019-20 as set in Annex B pursuant to section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

CARRIED

A division was called for as the Chair put the proposed recommendation.

Moved **Naylor/Keedwell**

- c.i that Council includes an additional \$50,000 to the Regional Freshwater Grants programme to take the average rates increase to 4.99%

Against: Crs McKellar, Rieger, Rollinson, Sheldon, Gordon, Burnell

For: Cotton, Keedwell, Naylor, Patrick, Te Awe Awe

LOST

PRESENTING MWRC HOLDINGS LIMITED 2020-22 STATEMENT OF INTENT

Report No 19-72

This report presented the Statement of Intent of MWRC Holdings Ltd to the shareholders of the Company as required by the Local Government Act 2002.

19-458 **Moved** **Sheldon/Rollinson**

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-72 and Annex.
b. agrees to the MWRC Holdings Ltd 2020-22 Statement of Intent.

CARRIED

STATE OF ENVIRONMENT REPORT

Report No 19-73

This report presented to Council the 2019 State of the Environment (SOE) report and released the report to the public. Dr Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources and Partnerships) introduced the report and acknowledged the efforts of Horizons staff and the Science Team. Ms Matthews (Manager Science and Innovation) introduced a short video clip and invited various Science Team staff to come forward to summarise activities contained in the report. Members asked questions of clarification and provided their comments around the SOE report. Mrs Hesselin (Senior Communications Advisor) outlined the communications strategy for the report.

19-459 **Moved** **Keedwell/Sheldon**

It is recommended that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-73.*

CARRIED

HAZARD INFORMATION UPDATE PROJECT

Report No 19-74

This report provided Members with an update on the progress with the implementation of the Hazard Information Update Project (2015-2022). Mr Shirley (Group Manager Regional Services and Information) introduced the item and invited Mr Lowe (Manager Emergency Management Office) to take Members through the report. Mr Lowe outlined an overview of progress to date on the project underway to improve and update Council's hazard information database, and elaborated on the seismic information component of the project. He spoke to a powerpoint presentation 'LiDAR characterisation of active faults in Horizons Region for FAZs'.

19-460 **Moved** **Sheldon/Te Awe Awe**

It is recommended that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-74.*

CARRIED

AFFIXING OF THE COMMON SEAL

Report No 19-75

This item reported on documents to which Horizons Regional Council's Common Seal had been affixed.

19-461 **Moved** **Te Awe Awe/Sheldon**

That the Council:

- a. *acknowledges the affixing of the Common Seal to the below mentioned documents.*
- *Lease - Renewal*
Lessee: Prenters Aggregates Limited
Area: 0.8094
Location: Dannevirke
Period: 1 January 2019 – 31 December 2022
 - *Lease – Assignment of existing lease from L & P Partnership Trust to Vaud Trust*
Lessee: Vaud Trust
Area: 12.2200
Location: Parewanui Road
Period: 1 April 2019 – 30 November 2021
 - *Lease – Renewal*
Lessee: Vale Farm Ltd
Area: 3.7549
Location: Staces Road
Period: 1 September 2019 – 31 August 2028

- *Lease – Renewal*
Lessee: Easton Dairy Ltd
Area: 93.3383
Location: SH1 / Whirikino Road
Period: 1 June 2016 – 30 May 2025

CARRIED

**COUNCILLORS' WORKSHOP ATTENDANCE - 25 APRIL 2019 TO
21 MAY 2019**

Report No 19-76

This item noted the Councillors' Workshop attendance from 25 April to 21 May 2019.

19-462 **Moved** **Te Awe Awe/Sheldon**

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-76 and Annex.*

CARRIED

PROCEDURAL MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

19-463 **Moved** **Rieger/Keedwell**

THAT the public be excluded from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting. The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 and section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

CARRIED

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
PX1 Confirmation of Public Excluded Meeting held on 30 April 2019	s7(2)(h) - the withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities.	s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.
PX2 Rural Upgrade Project - Contract No. 2018/10: Oroua River Left Bank Upgrade, Daltons Road (PRD 07 18 10)	s7(2)(h) - the withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities. Commercially sensitive information has been submitted by tenderers. It is important that the confidentiality of that information is maintained through the Council's consideration of the recommendations.	s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.
PX3 Council / Committee to consider whether any item in the Public Excluded minutes can be moved into the public domain and define the extent of the release		
PX4 Members' Questions		

The meeting adjourned to the Public Excluded part of the meeting at 12.23pm and resumed at 12.30pm.

The meeting closed at 12.31pm.

Confirmed

CHIEF EXECUTIVE

CHAIR

Annex A: Annual Funding Impact Statement for the Year Ending 30 June 2020

Notes:

1. All rates in this Funding Impact Statement are inclusive of GST.
2. The final date for payment for all rates is 27 September 2019. When all rates for the financial year are paid on or before this date, a discount will apply as specified in the Prompt Payment Policy. Except where prior arrangements are made, penalties for late payment will be applied at the maximum rates allowable, being 10 per cent on 25 October 2019 and 10 per cent on all accumulated rate arrears on 8 July 2019 and 17 January 2020.
3. Definition of Separately Used or Inhabited Dwelling Part of a Rating Unit. Under Schedule 10, Part 1, Section 10 1 d (iii) (B) of the Local Government Act we are now required to state our definition of a Separately Used or Inhabited Dwelling Part of a Rating Unit:

"A separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit is only recognised as such if there is a unique valuation identifier for that part of the rating unit."

Equalised Capital Value

The Region is made up of seven districts. A portion of your rates bill (General Rate) is derived from the value of your property. Each district is valued at different times. It is important to take into account timing differences, so that ratepayers in districts that have been revalued more recently don't unfairly pay more than districts valued two or three years ago. To adjust for revaluation timing differences, we annually revalue all districts to work out an individual district's share of the General Rate. Once the total General Rate for a district is known, the amount is then allocated over the capital values of the individual properties at the time of the last revaluation.

For Further information about your rates classes/differentials please visit www.horizons.govt.nz Property and Rates FAQ's Rates Classifications.

General Rate Differentiation Across Constituent Districts (including GST)

ECV \$ At 01/09/17	General Rate Yield (Inc UAGC) 2018-19	District/City	ECV \$ At 01/09/18	*General Rate Yield 2019-20	UAGC Yield 2019-20	Total Yield 2019-20
5,422,118,710	803,113	Horowhenua DC	6,665,203,747	-	785,461	785,461
6,610,281,625	681,145	Manawatū DC	8,236,183,308	-	601,992	601,992
12,629,826,100	1,542,935	Palmerston North CC	18,253,504,907	-	1,470,410	1,470,410
3,393,766,613	398,140	Part Stratford DC	84,791,134	-	8,153	8,153
3,612,123,235	417,466	Part Taupō DC	1,786,437	-	90	90
4,506,388,538	483,617	Part Waitomo DC	63,173,228	-	1,216	1,216
5,751,759,498	931,812	Rangitikei DC	4,286,724,405	-	339,485	339,485
66,538,885	8,930	Ruapehu DC	4,171,773,446	-	372,231	372,231
1,857,000	124	Tararua DC	5,535,904,160	-	403,175	403,175
66,764,750	2,562	Whanganui DC	6,625,506,127	-	933,054	933,054
42,061,424,954	5,269,844		53,924,550,899	-	4,915,266	4,915,266

* The earnings from our Investment portfolio offsets the General Rate in 2019-20

Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC) (including GST)

District/City	Class	Number of Rating Units	*UAGC Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	UAGC	17,438	45.04	785,461
Manawatū DC	UAGC	13,365	45.04	601,992
Palmerston North CC	UAGC	32,645	45.04	1,470,410
Part Stratford DC	UAGC	181	45.04	8,153
Part Taupō DC	UAGC	2	45.04	90
Part Waitomo DC	UAGC	27	45.04	1,216
Rangitikei DC	UAGC	7,537	45.04	339,485
Ruapehu DC	UAGC	8,264	45.04	372,231
Tararua DC	UAGC	8,951	45.04	403,175
Whanganui DC	UAGC	20,715	45.04	933,054
Grand Total		109,125		4,915,266

*A uniform annual general charge(or UAGC) is a fixed dollar amount on every rating unit, irrespective of the value of the rating unit.

General Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	-	-
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	-	-
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	-	-
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	-	-
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	-	-
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	-	-
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	-	-
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	-	-
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	-	-
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	-	-
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		-

Biosecurity Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0000781	520,752
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0000781	643,492
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0000781	1,426,144
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0000781	6,625
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0000781	140
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0000781	4,936
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0000781	334,921
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0000781	325,940
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0000781	432,520
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0000781	517,650
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		4,213,119

Emergency Management Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0000388	258,568
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0000388	319,513
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0000388	708,122
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0000388	3,289
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0000388	69
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0000388	2,451
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0000388	166,298
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0000388	161,839
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0000388	214,759
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0000388	257,028
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		2,091,936

Information Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0000313	208,452
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0000313	257,584
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0000313	570,872
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0000313	2,652
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0000313	56
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0000313	1,976
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0000313	134,066
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0000313	130,471
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0000313	173,134
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0000313	207,211
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		1,686,472

Resource and Consent Monitoring Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0000481	320,749
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0000481	396,350
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0000481	878,413
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0000481	4,080
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0000481	86
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0000481	3,040
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0000481	206,290
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0000481	200,758
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0000481	266,404
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0000481	318,839
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		2,595,010

River and Drainage Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0000872	581,340
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0000872	718,361
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0000872	1,592,074
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0000872	7,395
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0000872	156
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0000872	5,510
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0000872	373,889
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0000872	363,863
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0000872	482,842
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0000872	577,878
Grand Total	-	53,924,550,899	-	4,703,308

Strategic Management Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0000368	245,375
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0000368	303,210
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0000368	671,992
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0000368	3,122
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0000368	66
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0000368	2,326
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0000368	157,813
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0000368	153,581
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0000368	203,801
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0000368	243,914
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		1,985,200

Water Quality and Quantity Rate - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01N	6,665,203,747	0.0001093	728,482
Manawatū DC	02N	8,236,183,308	0.0001093	900,184
Palmerston North CC	03N	18,253,504,907	0.0001093	1,995,040
Part Stratford DC	08N	84,791,134	0.0001093	9,267
Part Taupō DC	09N	1,786,437	0.0001093	195
Part Waitomo DC	10N	63,173,228	0.0001093	6,905
Rangitikei DC	04N	4,286,724,405	0.0001093	468,523
Ruapehu DC	05N	4,171,773,446	0.0001093	455,959
Tararua DC	06N	5,535,904,160	0.0001093	605,054
Whanganui DC	07N	6,625,506,127	0.0001093	724,143
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		5,893,754

Regional Transport Planning & Road Safety - Equalised Capital Value (EQCV) (including GST)

District/City	Class	EQCV	All Properties \$ per \$ of Capital Value 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01T	6,665,203,747	0.0000049	32,862
Manawatū DC	02T	8,236,183,308	0.0000049	40,608
Palmerston North CC	03T	18,253,504,907	0.0000049	89,997
Part Stratford DC	08T	84,791,134	0.0000049	418
Part Taupō DC	09T	1,786,437	0.0000049	9
Part Waitomo DC	10T	63,173,228	0.0000049	311
Rangitikei DC	04T	4,286,724,405	0.0000049	21,135
Ruapehu DC	05T	4,171,773,446	0.0000049	20,569
Tararua DC	06T	5,535,904,160	0.0000049	27,294
Whanganui DC	07T	6,625,506,127	0.0000049	32,666
Grand Total		53,924,550,899		265,870

Targeted Rate: Transport Passenger Services (Including Total Mobility) (including GST)

District/City	Class	Number of Rating Units	Uniform Annual Charge Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	01U	2,857,432,850	0.0000612	174,840
Manawatū DC	02U	2,266,977,760	0.0000948	214,875
Palmerston North CC	03U	15,415,043,701	0.0001052	1,621,280
Rangitikei DC	04U	998,720,340	0.0000423	42,213
Ruapehu DC	05U	979,170,850	0.0000106	10,374
Tararua DC	06U	765,009,200	0.0000147	11,269
Whanganui DC	07U	4,406,316,076	0.0001336	588,596
Grand Total		27,688,670,777		2,663,447

Targeted Rate: Infrastructure Insurance Reserve (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Uniform Annual Charge Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Up to and including \$250,000 Capital Value	IIR1	50,672	2.19	110,746
Greater than \$250,000 and up to and including \$500,000 Capital Value	IIR2	40,700	4.37	177,902
Greater than \$500,000 and up to and including \$1,000,000 Capital Value	IIR3	14,157	6.56	92,863
Greater than \$1,000,000 Capital Value	IIR4	6,808	28.42	193,488
Grand Total		112,337		575,000

Targeted Rate: Sustainable Land Use Initiative (including GST)

District/City	Class	Number of Rating Units	Uniform Annual Charge Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	SUIP	17,438	38.94	679,048
Manawatū DC	SUIP	13,365	38.94	520,435
Palmerston North CC	SUIP	32,645	38.94	1,271,202
Part Stratford DC	SUIP	181	38.94	7,048
Part Taupō DC	SUIP	2	38.94	78
Part Waitomo DC	SUIP	27	38.94	1,051
Rangitikei DC	SUIP	7,537	38.94	293,492
Ruapehu DC	SUIP	8,264	38.94	321,802
Tararua DC	SUIP	8,951	38.94	348,553
Whanganui DC	SUIP	20,715	38.94	806,646
Grand Total		109,125		4,249,356

Targeted Rate: Drinking Water Monitoring & Research (including GST)

District/City	Class	Number of Rating Units	Uniform Annual Charge Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	SUIP	17,438	1.05	18,377
Manawatū DC	SUIP	13,365	1.05	14,085
Palmerston North CC	SUIP	32,645	1.05	34,402
Part Stratford DC	SUIP	181	1.05	191
Part Taupō DC	SUIP	2	1.05	2
Part Waitomo DC	SUIP	27	1.05	28
Rangitikei DC	SUIP	7,537	1.05	7,943
Ruapehu DC	SUIP	8,264	1.05	8,709
Tararua DC	SUIP	8,951	1.05	9,433
Whanganui DC	SUIP	20,715	1.05	21,830
Grand Total		109,125		115,000

Targeted Rate: Environmental Initiatives (including GST)

District/City	Class	Number of Rating Units	Uniform Annual Charge Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Horowhenua DC	SUIP	17,438	22.15	386,205
Manawatū DC	SUIP	13,365	22.15	295,995
Palmerston North CC	SUIP	32,645	22.15	722,990
Part Stratford DC	SUIP	181	22.15	4,009
Part Taupō DC	SUIP	2	22.15	44
Part Waitomo DC	SUIP	27	22.15	598
Rangitikei DC	SUIP	7,537	22.15	166,922
Ruapehu DC	SUIP	8,264	22.15	183,023
Tararua DC	SUIP	8,951	22.15	198,238
Whanganui DC	SUIP	20,715	22.15	458,776
Grand Total		109,125		2,416,800

Targeted Rate: Production Pest Animal Management (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per Hectare 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Properties greater than 4 Ha	PPAM	1,634,044	0.8722883	1,425,358
Grand Total		1,634,044		1,425,358

Targeted Rate: Production Pest Rook Management (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per Hectare 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Properties greater than 4 Ha	PPRM	1,634,044	0.0982453	160,537
Grand Total		1,634,044		160,537

Targeted Rate: Production Pest Plant Management (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per Hectare 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Properties less than 4 Ha	PPUA	97,335	1.7726500	172,541
Properties greater than 4 Ha	PPPM	1,634,044	0.0175986	28,757
Grand Total		1,731,379		201,298

Targeted Rate: Rangitikei old man's beard eradication (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Properties less than 4 Ha	OMBE	5,939	9.20	54,627
Properties greater than 4 Ha	OMBE	1,598	34.18	54,627
Grand Total		7,537		109,255

Targeted Rate: Regional Park (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Manawatū District	PARK	13,365	4.33	57,924
Palmerston North City	PARK	32,645	4.33	141,484
Grand Total		46,010		199,409

Targeted Rate: Manawatu River Water Quality Improvement (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Manawatū River Catchment	AC	58,507	8.16	477,250
Grand Total		58,507		477,250

Targeted Rate: Waitarere Beach Biodiversity Project (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Waitarere Beach Community	SUIP	860	9.36	8,045
Grand Total		860		8,045

Targeted Rate: Lake Horowhenua Restoration (including GST)

Property Type	Class	Number of Rating Units	Dollars Per SUIP 2019-20	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Levin District	SUIP	17,438	11.22	195,659
Grand Total		17,438		195,659

Targeted Rate: River and Drainage Schemes (including GST) – Rates 2019-20

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
RIVER SCHEMES					
Kahuterawa	KM	\$ Per Hectare	47	89.2920449	4,233
	MU Farm	Total amount to be Invoiced			4,601
	NZ Defence	Total amount to be Invoiced			5,276
				Scheme	14,111
Lower Kiwitea Stream	CK	\$ Per Hectare	141	173.2236602	24,468
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	23,693	1.1443404	27,113
	MK	\$ Per Hectare	300	271.4768451	81,325
				Scheme	132,906
Lower Manawatū	CE	\$ Per Hectare	269,107	0.4640152	124,870
	CL	\$ Per Hectare	141,344	1.5836695	223,843
	CW	\$ Per Hectare	79,066	0.7918348	62,607
	DR	\$ Per Hectare	187	125.7858883	23,464
	F1	\$ per \$ of Land Value	153,462,300	0.0017314	265,711
	F2	\$ per \$ of Land Value	95,012,840	0.0013852	131,607
	F3	\$ per \$ of Land Value	50,753,840	0.0010389	52,726
	F4	\$ per \$ of Land Value	336,558,300	0.0006926	233,092
	F5	\$ per \$ of Land Value	570,680,979	0.0001731	98,810
	FB	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	389,703,000	0.0001547	60,294
	FG	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	2,074,565,160	0.0002706	561,397
	HF	\$ Per SUIP	768	496.13	381,027
	IC	\$ Per SUIP	51,388	11.02	566,303
	IE	\$ Per SUIP	2,634	5.51	14,514
	IF	\$ Per SUIP	5,772	3.64	20,991
	IS	\$ Per SUIP	11,495	5.51	63,340
	IW	\$ Per SUIP	907	3.64	3,298
	PN	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	15,094,357,500	0.0000637	961,132
	R1	\$ Per Hectare	3,971	65.8511672	261,521
	R2	\$ Per Hectare	171	13.1702412	2,248
SA	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	4,105,640	0.0014717	6,042	
SB	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	48,222,120	0.0012986	62,620	
SC	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	10,007,510	0.0008657	8,664	
SD	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	69,429,857	0.0004329	30,053	
				Scheme	4,220,173
Lower Manawatū Special Project	AH	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	455,242,500	0.0000299	13,602
	SP (On specified PN scheme)	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	14,933,514,500	0.0000763	1,139,338

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	TU (Turitea)	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	12,318,000	0.0011203	13,800
				Scheme	1,166,741
Lower Whanganui River	N1	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	4,026,093,314	0.0000347	139,624
	N2	\$ Per SUIP	3,477	20.08	69,812
	W1	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	110,150,000	0.0002025	22,307
	W2	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	3,916,944,224	0.0001013	396,626
				Scheme	628,368
Makirikiri	A	\$ Per Hectare	24	53.1893727	1,298
	A1	\$ Per Hectare	24	84.1584716	2,054
	B	\$ Per Hectare	107	37.2325723	3,976
	B1	\$ Per Hectare	42	58.9110294	2,484
	C	\$ Per Hectare	64	26.5947279	1,710
	C1	\$ Per Hectare	8	42.0787245	348
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	5,246	0.8347733	4,379
	F	\$ Per Hectare	180	5.3189492	958
	F1	\$ Per Hectare	37	8.4159152	310
	GF	\$ Per SUIP	1	759.02	759
	T1	\$ Per SUIP	1	819.74	820
	T2	\$ Per SUIP	1	4,599.93	4,600
	LF	\$ Per SUIP	1	1,457.32	1,457
					Scheme
Mangatainoka	CK	\$ Per Hectare	16,570	0.7579180	12,559
	CM	\$ Per Hectare	5,880	0.1129623	664
	CU	\$ Per Hectare	15,966	2.9535775	47,156
	DR	\$ Per Hectare	215	107.0014789	23,000
	F1	\$ Per Hectare	461	68.2554089	31,439
	F2	\$ Per Hectare	744	40.9532473	30,450
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	39,576	1.2235597	48,424
	KL	\$ Per Hectare	171	173.0490546	29,586
	LH	\$ Per Hectare	224	29.6002711	6,639
	LL	\$ Per Hectare	592	5.9200521	3,507
	LM	\$ Per Hectare	47	17.7601510	843
	MH	\$ Per Hectare	795	78.5343016	62,423
	ML	\$ Per Hectare	539	15.7068604	8,467
	MM	\$ Per Hectare	1,271	47.1205834	59,891
	MR	\$ Per Hectare	508	10.0945557	5,132
	PU	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	260,760,590	0.0003878	101,135
	R1	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	64,500,000	0.0005645	36,408
	R2	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	6,015,000	0.0005922	3,562
	UH	\$ Per Hectare	400	177.6391107	70,980
	UL	\$ Per Hectare	507	35.5278151	18,007

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	UM	\$ Per Hectare	249	106.5834523	26,518
				Scheme	626,788
Matarawa	CM	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	4,026,117,200	0.0000091	36,520
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	7,914	2.8908396	22,878
	IN	\$ Per SUIP	314	30.28	9,507
	L1	\$ Per Hectare	92	13.9216133	1,286
	L2	\$ Per Hectare	71	13.9215412	984
	L3	\$ Per Hectare	14	55.6862390	784
	M1	\$ Per Hectare	393	92.8106259	36,449
	M3	\$ Per Hectare	70	18.5621783	1,299
	M4	\$ Per Hectare	33	18.5620660	607
	MW (Wanganui District Council)	Total amount to be Invoiced			40,595
				Scheme	150,910
Ohakune	UR	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	414,309,000	0.0000571	23,668
				Scheme	23,668
Ohau-Manakau	AD	\$ Per Hectare	137	28.4210489	3,899
	CD	\$ Per Hectare	5	18.3856098	97
	CH	\$ Per Hectare	393	39.5373487	15,556
	CL	\$ Per Hectare	1,375	2.9653000	4,078
	FH	\$ Per Hectare	394	105.6298424	41,574
	FL	\$ Per Hectare	1,375	7.9222349	10,896
	HD	\$ Per Hectare	422	15.1892118	6,410
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	12,097	6.8727401	83,139
	KD	\$ Per Hectare	189	32.1007001	6,057
	L2	\$ Per Hectare	23	26.8177595	610
	LD	\$ Per Hectare	60	53.6357558	3,206
	MC	\$ Per Hectare	402	6.1369848	2,467
	ML	\$ Per Hectare	41	101.6314831	4,174
	MU	\$ Per Hectare	57	243.7056314	13,922
	OL	\$ Per Hectare	34	60.9845332	2,070
	OM	\$ Per Hectare	75	186.9574389	14,007
	OT	\$ Per Hectare	117	686.0520271	80,369
	OU	\$ Per Hectare	50	335.6469314	16,657
	PD	\$ Per Hectare	69	27.3721599	1,895
	SD	\$ Per Hectare	53	9.2591781	493
	TD	\$ Per Hectare	134	41.4374217	5,541
	UM	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	31,238,000	0.0001437	4,488
	UO	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	55,667,000	0.0001065	5,931
	UW	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	66,512,000	0.0002791	18,563

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	WD	\$ Per Hectare	265	19.2030952	5,091
	WL	\$ Per Hectare	87	78.9289303	6,829
	WU	\$ Per Hectare	81	193.5345335	15,722
				Scheme	373,739
Pakihi Valley	A	\$ Per Hectare	100	68.5453641	6,871
				Scheme	6,871
Pohangina - Oroua	DR	\$ Per Hectare	21	270.6149784	5,750
	EZ	\$ Per Hectare	376	0.1123087	42
	IA	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	452,232,450	0.0000235	10,645
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	55,212	2.4849435	137,198
	O1	\$ Per Hectare	394	79.1660966	31,204
	O2	\$ Per Hectare	694	98.5565107	68,367
	O3	\$ Per Hectare	10	63.3327205	603
	O4	\$ Per Hectare	170	78.8452204	13,369
	P1	\$ Per Hectare	286	187.9351217	53,765
	P2	\$ Per Hectare	630	112.7610670	71,030
	P3	\$ Per Hectare	128	21.0912644	2,699
	P4	\$ Per Hectare	196	150.3481162	29,430
	P5	\$ Per Hectare	39	90.2088203	3,502
	P6	\$ Per Hectare	33	16.8729861	553
				Scheme	428,157
Porewa Valley	A	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	1,749,620	0.0052646	9,211
	B	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	3,779,590	0.0030534	11,541
	C	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	7,985,070	0.0014741	11,771
	D	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	33,018,040	0.0002632	8,691
	E	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	8,113,400	0.0001579	1,281
	F	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	140,464,590	0.0000526	7,395
	U1	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	13,145,600	0.0004212	5,536
	U2	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	7,668,010	0.0001053	807
				Scheme	56,234
Rangitikei River	CD	\$ Per Hectare	25,003	0.3612555	9,032
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	175,988	0.7225110	127,153
	CU	\$ Per Hectare	43,519	0.3612555	15,721
	DR	\$ Per Hectare	87	232.9439018	20,154
	E1	\$ Per Hectare	604	62.5540129	37,803
	E2	\$ Per Hectare	702	25.0216016	17,573
	E3	\$ Per Hectare	1,191	94.3844562	112,447
	E4	\$ Per Hectare	1,296	79.2274054	102,653
	F1	\$ Per Hectare	1,499	139.8202489	209,607
	F2	\$ Per Hectare	783	83.8921493	65,672

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	F3	\$ Per Hectare	41	15.9354929	659
	F4	\$ Per Hectare	161	47.5162092	7,628
	F5	\$ Per Hectare	220	51.9830686	11,439
	F6	\$ Per Hectare	53	31.1898026	1,652
	GF	\$ Per SUIP	50	401.19	20,060
	GT	\$ Per SUIP	224	171.96	38,518
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	40,493	0.6326077	25,616
	RF	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	600,000	0.0070633	4,238
	RH	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	2,620,000	0.0019770	5,180
	RO	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	62,100,000	0.0000561	3,485
	UF	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	8,495,000	0.0023613	20,060
	UL	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	756,707,260	0.0000520	39,366
	UT	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	30,182,800	0.0012762	38,518
	UU	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	153,430,790	0.0000479	7,346
				Scheme	<u>941,579</u>
Ruapehu DC	CN	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	4,293,059,700	0.0000147	63,280
				Scheme	<u>63,280</u>
South East Ruahines	CN	\$ Per Hectare	49,356	1.2079218	59,619
	DR	\$ Per Hectare	351	58.9434598	20,700
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	64,629	0.9224759	59,619
	M1	\$ Per Hectare	1,214	34.6075255	42,006
	M2	\$ Per Hectare	633	61.4375896	38,893
	M3	\$ Per Hectare	110	67.6465907	7,427
	M4	\$ Per Hectare	442	69.1990859	30,602
	M5	\$ Per Hectare	1,913	10.9513906	20,948
	RZ	\$ Per Hectare	230	0.0192722	4
	SZ	\$ Per Hectare	302	0.0146827	4
	W1	\$ Per Hectare	531	8.2078555	4,358
	W2	\$ Per Hectare	753	15.1908763	11,435
	W3	\$ Per Hectare	8,533	10.4123819	88,852
	WO (Woodville only)	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	143,498,700	0.0001557	22,347
	DK (Dannevirke only)	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	486,785,200	0.0001253	60,996
				Scheme	<u>467,810</u>
Tararua	CN	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	5,477,832,350	0.0000352	192,567
				Scheme	<u>192,567</u>
Tawataia - Mangaone	B	\$ Per Hectare	111	33.1417690	3,664

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	C	\$ Per Hectare	656	11.0472515	7,243
	D	\$ Per Hectare	11	5.5238269	62
				Scheme	10,969
Turakina	CN	\$ Per Hectare	82,146	0.1104552	9,073
	T1	\$ Per Hectare	274	32.2212050	8,834
	T2	\$ Per Hectare	155	28.4392052	4,417
	T3	\$ Per Hectare	222	19.8571420	4,417
	T4	\$ Per Hectare	1,389	12.8540527	17,853
	T5	\$ Per Hectare	110	5.6055875	615
				Scheme	45,209
Tutaenui	CN	\$ Per Hectare	12,889	0.6886273	8,876
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	12,739	0.6829709	8,701
	TL	\$ Per Hectare	456	17.8183741	8,125
	TW	\$ Per Hectare	290	38.3424639	11,133
	UM (Marton)	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	459,677,150	0.0000911	41,871
	UB (Bulls)	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	164,323,413	0.0000821	13,488
				Scheme	92,194
Upper Manawatū	CN	\$ Per Hectare	268,312	0.3202938	85,939
	D1	\$ Per Hectare	122	15.4309208	1,887
	D2	\$ Per Hectare	213	11.5045201	2,453
	D3	\$ Per Hectare	238	11.9019125	2,830
	D4	\$ Per Hectare	85	26.4969290	2,264
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	24,028	1.2345705	29,665
	M1	\$ Per Hectare	262	88.7286940	23,228
	M2	\$ Per Hectare	38	282.5531867	10,828
	U1	\$ Per Hectare	615	137.1153147	84,337
	U2	\$ Per Hectare	165	103.8533721	17,176
	U3	\$ Per Hectare	158	161.4419703	25,571
	U4	\$ Per Hectare	125	84.4538088	10,561
				Scheme	296,737
Upper Whanganui	IN	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	2,414,551,900	0.0000333	80,305
	R1	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	2,455,000	0.0006027	1,480
	R2	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	1,516,500	0.0003013	457
	R3	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	945,140	0.0027562	2,605
	R4	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	1,498,100	0.0013781	2,065
	U1	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	68,762,030	0.0005468	37,602
	U2	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	258,189,630	0.0002187	56,476
				Scheme	180,989
Whangaehu -	CN	\$ Per Hectare	127,772	0.2959106	37,809

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
Mangawhero					
	IN	\$ Per SUIP	458	23.59	10,803
	LW	\$ Per Hectare	843	4.9710672	4,190
	MW	\$ Per Hectare	1,301	17.5927488	22,896
	UM	\$ Per Hectare	77	77.5858107	5,998
	UW	\$ Per Hectare	645	40.8472606	26,330
				Scheme	108,026
DRAINAGE SCHEMES					
Akitio					
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	55,046		
	RC	\$ Per Hectare	613		
				Scheme	
Ashhurst Stream					
	AC	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	451,897,450	0.0000595	26,903
	AL	\$ Per Hectare	10	141.4963808	1,366
	AN	\$ Per SUIP	1,222	22.02	26,903
	AU	\$ Per Hectare	22	37.4525477	829
				Scheme	56,001
Forest Road					
	A	\$ Per Hectare	36	29.0896369	1,061
	B	\$ Per Hectare	207	21.8172281	4,516
	C	\$ Per Hectare	159	14.5448190	2,319
	D	\$ Per Hectare	549	7.2724092	3,996
	E	\$ Per Hectare	79	3.7816524	300
	F	\$ Per Hectare	139	2.0362746	282
				Scheme	12,474
Foxton East					
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	813	27.9647277	22,746
	UB	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	224,280,700	0.0004395	98,567
				Scheme	121,314
Haunui					
	A	\$ per \$ of Land Value	21,531,000	0.0005525	11,896
				Scheme	11,896
Himatangi					
	A	\$ Per Hectare	489	16.4482168	8,051
	B	\$ Per Hectare	405	11.5137516	4,668
	C	\$ Per Hectare	660	5.7568759	3,800
	D	\$ Per Hectare	1,124	2.9606791	3,328
	E	\$ Per Hectare	1,363	1.1513751	1,569
	F	\$ Per Hectare	404	0.8224109	332
				Scheme	21,748
Hokio					
	AC	\$ Per SUIP	172	121.71	20,934

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	DA	\$ Per Hectare	101	28.7001165	2,892
	DH	\$ Per Hectare	55	19.3873041	1,076
	DL	\$ Per Hectare	39	4.1375170	162
	DM	\$ Per Hectare	175	14.9862164	2,628
	DP	\$ Per Hectare	26	39.8506801	1,055
	DS	\$ Per Hectare	659	31.8898049	21,005
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	4,408	4.6044792	20,294
	UH	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	23,234,400	0.0000625	1,451
	UL	\$ per \$ of Capital Value	1,882,579,550	0.0000159	29,975
				Scheme	101,471
Koputaroa	A1	\$ Per Hectare	174	52.9548949	9,219
	A2	\$ Per Hectare	216	26.4774472	5,729
	C1	\$ Per Hectare	30	29.1304900	876
	C2	\$ Per Hectare	128	19.2261198	2,454
	C3	\$ Per Hectare	111	9.6130613	1,071
	C4	\$ Per Hectare	99	30.8634744	3,050
	C5	\$ Per Hectare	107	20.3698845	2,177
	D1	\$ Per Hectare	501	38.7312809	19,407
	D2	\$ Per Hectare	80	19.3656407	1,547
	D3	\$ Per Hectare	141	24.2975579	3,426
	D4	\$ Per Hectare	63	12.1487965	763
	D5	\$ Per Hectare	348	25.2560330	8,790
	D6	\$ Per Hectare	113	12.6280163	1,431
	D7	\$ Per Hectare	250	43.8861550	10,958
	D8	\$ Per Hectare	165	21.9430902	3,630
	F1	\$ Per Hectare	753	10.6720057	8,040
	F2	\$ Per Hectare	722	6.4032028	4,626
	F3	\$ Per Hectare	109	3.2015979	350
	F4	\$ Per Hectare	173	1.0672011	184
	F5	\$ Per Hectare	124	35.6878038	4,432
	F6	\$ Per Hectare	238	14.2751275	3,392
	I1	\$ Per Hectare	1,300	6.6024000	8,581
	I2	\$ Per Hectare	305	8.0902610	2,469
	I3	\$ Per Hectare	665	7.2190384	4,798
	I4	\$ Per Hectare	635	9.5565624	6,069
	IA	\$ Per Hectare	1,833	1.3812107	2,531
	IK	\$ Per Hectare	5,270	1.5004953	7,907
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	1,047	25.3625111	26,555
	K1	\$ Per Hectare	132	99.8162276	13,142
	K2	\$ Per Hectare	106	49.9081140	5,288
	P1	\$ Per Hectare	110	248.3468189	27,305
	P2	\$ Per Hectare	177	163.9088640	28,960
	P3	\$ Per Hectare	48	161.6955209	7,755

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	P4	\$ Per Hectare	96	106.7190219	10,290
	P5	\$ Per Hectare	30	189.1683544	5,686
	P6	\$ Per Hectare	128	124.8511596	15,927
	P7	\$ Per Hectare	111	62.4255628	6,957
	P8	\$ Per Hectare	99	205.5561819	20,302
	P9	\$ Per Hectare	107	135.6670254	14,498
				Scheme	<u>310,573</u>
Makerua	B1	\$ Per Hectare	309	22.1117067	6,836
	B2	\$ Per Hectare	129	8.8446857	1,138
	C1	\$ Per Hectare	97	37.3278811	3,611
	C2	\$ Per Hectare	144	14.9311415	2,148
	CB	\$ Per Hectare	133	12.0855965	1,606
	CK	\$ Per Hectare	357	17.9900345	6,424
	CM	\$ Per Hectare	214	23.8213254	5,095
	CO	\$ Per Hectare	3,499	16.4751428	57,648
	EC	\$ Per Hectare	8,626	1.4893437	12,848
	G1	\$ Per Hectare	141	6.6845677	941
	K1	\$ Per Hectare	367	54.6602324	20,047
	L1	\$ Per Hectare	230	18.9669080	4,364
	L2	\$ Per Hectare	323	7.5867655	2,447
	M1	\$ Per Hectare	219	24.6078063	5,382
	M2	\$ Per Hectare	128	9.8431228	1,263
	O1	\$ Per Hectare	4,079	34.9993517	142,750
	O2	\$ Per Hectare	1,207	13.9997406	16,903
	OC	\$ Per Hectare	6,006	4.5456817	27,301
	PB	\$ Per Hectare	133	167.1146256	22,206
	PK	\$ Per Hectare	357	105.7689946	37,767
	PM	\$ Per Hectare	214	119.1066842	25,474
	PO	\$ Per Hectare	3,499	39.6947763	138,886
	R1	\$ Per Hectare	121	34.3881173	4,153
	T1	\$ Per Hectare	223	29.3502877	6,535
				Scheme	<u>553,772</u>
Manawatū	CL	\$ Per Hectare	31	97.3231776	3,023
	CN	\$ Per Hectare	15,470	9.1737137	141,918
	DR	\$ Per Hectare	17,200	16.6955582	287,169
	DU	\$ Per SUIP	1,242	75.95	94,328
	F1	\$ Per Hectare	1,184	37.0316257	43,840
	F2	\$ Per Hectare	499	18.5158128	9,234
	F3	\$ Per Hectare	214	3.7031594	794
	P1	\$ Per Hectare	724	170.9999035	123,889
	P2	\$ Per Hectare	176	85.4999517	15,046
	P3	\$ Per Hectare	911	42.7499666	38,939

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	P4	\$ Per Hectare	264	8.5499983	2,256
	CC	Total amount to be Invoiced			14,649
				Scheme	<u>775,084</u>
Moutoa	B1	\$ Per Hectare	4	302.6715585	1,225
	B2	\$ Per Hectare	36	242.1372431	8,693
	B3	\$ Per Hectare	62	45.4007570	2,812
	C1	\$ Per Hectare	124	65.6933723	8,158
	C2	\$ Per Hectare	21	52.5546316	1,102
	C3	\$ Per Hectare	5	19.7084846	107
	D1	\$ Per Hectare	1,138	31.5077111	35,842
	D2	\$ Per Hectare	712	17.9450997	12,780
	D3	\$ Per Hectare	1,338	7.1780401	9,601
	D4	\$ Per Hectare	1,011	8.2368621	8,330
	D5	\$ Per Hectare	135	3.2947460	446
	MC	\$ Per Hectare	4,462	19.7452250	88,107
	P1	\$ Per Hectare	206	113.4202914	23,406
	P2	\$ Per Hectare	835	90.7362360	75,750
	P3	\$ Per Hectare	410	34.0260905	13,938
	P4	\$ Per Hectare	600	90.4252665	54,287
	P5	\$ Per Hectare	375	72.3402181	27,163
	P6	\$ Per Hectare	102	27.1276071	2,780
				Scheme	<u>374,527</u>
Te Kawau	AC	\$ Per SUIP	496	80.36	39,860
	C1	\$ Per Hectare	82	14.0180905	1,145
	C2	\$ Per Hectare	850	0.5384300	458
	CF	\$ Per Hectare	13,326	1.2701385	16,926
	CR	\$ Per Hectare	713	3.3991641	2,424
	CU	\$ Per Hectare	36	37.0629599	1,339
	DA	\$ Per Hectare	1,633	1.4799494	2,417
	DO	\$ Per Hectare	4,172	6.2715571	26,168
	DR	\$ Per Hectare	314	8.5876550	2,698
	DS	\$ Per Hectare	7,510	11.6362362	87,394
	FK	\$ Per Hectare	207	10.2319119	2,116
	FM	\$ Per Hectare	1,087	36.4895736	39,663
	P1	\$ Per Hectare	40	48.2364219	1,934
	P2	\$ Per Hectare	11	12.0593252	129
	PR	\$ Per Hectare	332	16.0700869	5,332
				Scheme	<u>230,004</u>
Whirokino	D1	\$ Per Hectare	111	38.4350714	4,280
	D2	\$ Per Hectare	156	25.3671498	3,949

River and Drainage Schemes	Class/ Diff	Rating Basis	Number of Units	Unit Rate	Revenue Sought 2019-20
	D3	\$ Per Hectare	4	3.8431295	16
	D4	\$ Per Hectare	45	89.0604117	3,997
	D5	\$ Per Hectare	26	35.6241661	919
	F1	\$ Per Hectare	89	29.4072557	2,603
	F2	\$ Per Hectare	4	17.6440607	72
	F3	\$ Per Hectare	267	2.9407237	785
	IN	\$ Per Hectare	458	2.7852534	1,274
	P1	\$ Per Hectare	28	205.2084101	5,791
	P2	\$ Per Hectare	16	82.0836173	1,339
	P3	\$ Per Hectare	48	20.5209689	984
				Scheme	<u>26,008</u>
				Including GST	<u>12,848,050</u>
				Excluding GST	<u><u>11,172,218</u></u>

Total Rates Summary	Including GST	Excluding GST
General Rate	-	-
Uniform Annual General Charge	4,915	4,274
Biosecurity	4,213	3,664
Emergency Management	2,092	1,819
Information	1,686	1,466
Resource and Consent Monitoring	2,595	2,257
River and Drainage	4,703	4,090
Strategic Management	1,985	1,726
Water Quality and Quantity	5,894	5,125
Sustainable Land Use Initiative (SLUI) UAC	4,249	3,695
Environmental Initiatives UAC	2,417	2,102
Targeted Rate Transport Planning etc	266	231
Drinking Water Monitoring and Research	115	100
Manawatu River Quality Improvement	477	415
Production Pest Animal Management	1,425	1,239
Production Pest Rook Management	161	140
Infrastructure Insurance Reserve	575	500
Rangitikei Old Man's Beard Eradication 4 ha and over	55	48
Rangitikei Old Man's Beard Eradication under 4 ha	55	48
River and Drainage Scheme	11,460	9,965
River and Drainage Scheme Rates (UAC)	1,388	1,207
Regional Park UAC	199	173
Production Pest Plant Management	173	150
Production Pest Plant Management UAC	29	25
Transport Passenger Services	2,663	2,316
Waiterere UAC	8	7
Horowhenua Restoration	196	170
Sub Total	53,994	46,952
Penalties and Remissions	(179)	(156)
Total Rates Including Penalties and Remissions	53,815	46,796

Annex B : Annual Administrative Charges for the Year Ending 30 June 2020 Pursuant to Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and Section 243 of the Building Act 2004

A. Overview

Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and Section 243 of the Building Act 2004 enables local authorities to fix charges for various administrative and monitoring activities. The Council sets charges on an annual basis in the Annual Plan or Annual Plan/Amendment.

The charges outlined here are for the period 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020. They are for:

- Processing of resource consent applications (Section B);
- Compliance monitoring of resource consents (Section C);
- Research and monitoring (Section D);
- Dam consents, Project Information Memoranda (PIMs) and dam safety charges (Section E);
- Totara Reserve camping fees (Section F);
- Undertaking generic administrative functions (Section G); and
- Financial contributions (Section H).

The charges are based on cost recoveries specified in this Annual Plan. Projected recoveries for 2019-20 are \$1,523,237 for consents processing, \$783,684 for all compliance monitoring charges, and \$1,636,034 for contributions from resource users to research and science programmes.

Two statutes guide the Council in setting its administrative charges: the Funding Policy prepared under the Local Government Act 2002, and the criteria in Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991. The matters to be considered under both Acts are similar and can be briefly summarised as follows.

Public and private good

In its Funding Policy, the Council has identified the public and private good components of the various services that it provides. In broad terms, Council has determined that: 100 per cent of the costs of processing resource consents are a private benefit, and 65 to 80 per cent of the costs of conducting initial compliance inspections are a private benefit. Where, however, repeat compliance inspections are required because of poor performance, Council has determined the consent holder should pay 100 per cent of the costs of those inspections. This is because the need to carry out further inspections is due solely to the actions of the consent holder.

Matching costs to benefits

Both Council's Funding Policy and the Section 36 consent criteria led Council to decide that individual users should pay most of the costs of resource administration or monitoring where the benefits are enjoyed by consent holders rather than the community as a whole. The charges reflect this. Where beneficiaries cannot be individually identified, then charges are made against a group of beneficiaries. Examples are for monitoring surface water flows, and groundwater quality and quantity monitoring.

In setting its charges in the LTP, the Council also considers one further principle.

Equity

Classes of users should be treated in the same manner. To achieve this, charges for basic inspections are applied equitably across the Region with travel costs charged uniformly irrespective of location. More detailed inspections will be charged on an actual and reasonable basis, particularly in instances of non-compliance.

B. Resource consent processing and compliance monitoring charges

The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) enables Council to recover all reasonable costs incurred in respect of particular activities to which the charge relates. These costs are largely associated with (but not limited to) the receiving, processing, granting and monitoring of resource consents, as well as the change or cancellation of conditions, reviews, certificates of compliance and deemed permitted activities (DPAs).

The net costs of services for this output reflect Council's policies as follows:

- Council's policy is to recover from applicants 100 per cent of the actual and reasonable costs of receiving, processing and granting resource consent applications and their subsequent administration and monitoring. It should be noted that some community-based applications (excluding territorial authorities) will, at the sole discretion of Council, not be charged with the full cost of processing consents;
- Council's policy is to recover its actual and reasonable costs associated with monitoring compliance of resource consents;
- Council officers are available to assist with queries before a resource consent application is lodged. There is no charge for the first hour of pre-application assistance. After the first hour, Council's policy is to charge for this service; and
- In accordance with the Resource Management (Discount on Administrative Charges) Regulations 2010, where Council fails to process resource consent applications, including applications seeking to change or cancel conditions under Section 127 within statutory timeframes, Council will provide a discount of 1 per cent per day, up to a maximum of 50 working days. Council does not have to provide a discount in relation to applications seeking to extend lapse dates under Section 127 as this is not provided for under these Regulations.

When dealing with applications under the RMA, including, among others, consent applications, applications seeking to vary consents or initiating consent reviews, applications for certificates of compliance, existing use and DPAs, requests under Section 100A of the RMA and objections pursuant to Section 357AB of the RMA, Council will recover costs via a combination of fixed charges (as initial deposits), which are detailed below, and additional charges where the initial deposit is insufficient. The deposit is set by reference to the average costs for processing various consent types, whilst the additional charges are recovered on the basis of the hourly rate of the staff involved. These hourly rates are determined using actual employment costs plus a factor to cover administration and operating costs in receiving, processing, granting and monitoring resource consents. A similar approach is taken to compliance monitoring charges, as detailed later in this section.

Application charges

Section 36(1)(b) of the RMA enables Council to recover from applicants its actual and reasonable costs in carrying out its functions in relation to the receiving, processing and granting of resource consent applications (including consent variations, certificates of compliance and existing use certificates). Council is also permitted under Section 36 to recover its costs associated with various activities including, for example, resource consent reviews (Section 36(1)(cb) and issuing notices for DPAs (Section 36(1)(ae)).

Application charges involve payment of an initial fixed deposit (minimum application fee) at the time an application is lodged with Council. Where an application is to be limited or publicly notified, a further fixed deposit is required to be paid to Council one week prior to notification occurring. In instances where the total cost of processing an application is not fully covered by the fixed deposit(s), an additional charge(s) will be made under Section 36(5) of the RMA to recover the actual and reasonable costs incurred by the Council in carrying out its statutory functions.

Unless the initial fixed deposit(s) are paid in full when applications are first lodged and/or when additional charges for limited notified or fully notified consents are required, Council reserves its right under Section 36AAB(2) of the RMA to suspend processing an application until the charge has been paid.

Fixed initial deposits for applications

The following tables set out the fixed initial deposit amounts for various types of activities, payable on lodgement of application. As set out above, if the application is to be limited or publicly notified, a further fixed deposit will be required one week prior to notification occurring – see below for details as to the fixed initial deposits for notified consent application processes.

ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED INITIAL DEPOSIT GST Inclusive
Utilising Groundwater	
For stock purposes	\$ 885.50
For irrigation	\$ 1,863.00
Other uses	\$ 1,115.00
Utilising Surface Water	
For stock purposes	\$ 977.50
For irrigation	\$ 1,207.50
Other uses	\$ 1,150.00
For damming and diversion of water	\$ 1,150.00
Utilising Land	
Within a coastal area (excluding marine farms)	\$ 920.00
Drilling of a well or bore	\$ 575.00
For intensive farming purposes	\$ 1,725.00
Use or disturbance of land (e.g. earthworks, vegetation clearance and land-based gravel extraction)	\$ 920.00
Use or disturbance of land and vegetation clearance – infield	\$ 200.00

consents	
Land disturbance and vegetation clearance associated with forestry activities (including activities that require consent under the NESPF)	\$ 920.00
Discharging Contaminants (Excludes Intensive Farming)	
Discharges to land	\$ 885.50
Discharges to water	\$ 1,150.00
Discharges to air	\$ 1,150.00
Works in Beds of Rivers or Lake	
Extraction of gravel	\$ 1,667.50
Culvert	\$ 885.50
Bridge	\$ 885.50
ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED INITIAL DEPOSIT GST Inclusive
Certificate of Compliance	\$ 885.50
Deemed Permitted Activity	\$ 885.50
Existing Authorised Activities	
Review (Section 128)	\$ 885.50
Addition of land parcels	\$ 200.00
Transfer activity location	\$ 885.50
Transfer of consent	\$ 100.00
Existing use certificate	\$ 885.50
Variation (Section 127) – administration conditions only (see notes below)	\$ 500.00

Variation (Section 127) – all other conditions (see notes below)	\$ 885.50
Other	
Covers the processing of resource consents that do not fit within the consent activities above.	\$ 885.50

Notes:

1. NESPF refers to the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017.
2. Administrative conditions include monitoring and reporting requirements. All other conditions relate to avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment (e.g. water quality standards, construction methodology, maintaining environmental flows etc.).
3. Resource consent reviews initiated by Council will take place regardless of whether the consent holder pays the initial fixed deposit or not. The consent holder will be liable for the actual and reasonable costs incurred at the end of the review.

Further fixed deposit for notified applications

If an application is required to be limited or publicly notified, the Council will require a further fixed deposit as set out below. This deposit is in addition to the initial fixed deposit. The further fixed deposits also apply to applications lodged seeking to vary conditions of a consent or a review of conditions initiated by Council.

NOTIFICATION TYPE	FURTHER FIXED DEPOSIT GST Inclusive
Limited notification	\$ 7,500.00
Publicly notified (full notification)	\$ 20,000.00

Hearing by a commissioner if requested by applicant or submitter

Section 36(1)(aa) and (ab) enables Council to set charges in the event an applicant or submitter makes a request in writing pursuant to Section 100A of the RMA that Council delegate its functions, powers and duties required to hear and decide an application to one or more hearing commissioners who are not members of Council. In the event this occurs, a fixed preliminary deposit as detailed below is required to be paid to Council upon the request being made pursuant to Section 100A of the RMA. Where fixed preliminary deposit is insufficient, then actual and reasonable costs will be recovered from the applicant or submitter in accordance with Section 35(6), and as detailed in the Schedule of Additional Charges. The charge detailed below is to be paid to Council upon the request being lodged with Council.

HEARING BY A COMMISSIOER IF REQUESTED BY APPLICANT OR SUBMITTER	FIXED PRELIMINARY DEPOSIT GST Inclusive
Hearing by a commissioner if requested by applicant or submitter	\$ 5,000.00

Objections

Section 36(1)(af) of the RMA enables Council to set charges when considering an objection under Section 357A(1)(f) or (g) if a person requests under Section 357AB that the objection be considered by a hearing commissioner. In the event this occurs a fixed preliminary deposit as detailed below is required. In the event costs exceed this amount, actual and reasonable costs will be recovered from the applicant under Section 36(5), and as detailed under the Schedule of Additional Charges. The charge detailed below is to be paid to Council upon the request being lodged with Council.

OBJECTIONS UNDER SECTION 357(1)(f) or (g)	FIXED PRELIMINARY DEPOSIT GST Inclusive
Objection	\$ 5,000.00

Direct referral

Where an application is to proceed via direct referral to the Environment Court, all actual and reasonable costs incurred by Council up until formal referral to the Environment Court will be recovered from the applicant under Section 36 of the RMA. All costs incurred after that will be recovered by agreement with the applicant or by way of application to the Environment Court under Section 285 of the RMA.

Notes

In the event that there are applications involving multiple consents, the initial deposit charge will apply to each separate consent forming part of the proposal.

Applicants will be charged all actual and reasonable costs above the deposit fee. Such costs may include, without limitation, Council officer time, consultants, hearing commissioners, technical advisors and the like (plus disbursements). Hourly rates are set out in the Schedule of Additional Charges.

Council will provide an estimate of any additional charge when requested to do so. This is required under Section 36(6). Interim monthly invoices will also be provided for notified applications on request or where appropriate to assist with tracking of actual and reasonable costs.

Where the initial deposit exceeds the actual and reasonable costs, the difference will be refunded to the applicant.

Where an application is withdrawn the initial fixed deposit will be refunded, minus costs incurred by Council in processing the consent prior to the application being withdrawn. In the event costs incurred by Council exceed the deposit amount, these actual and reasonable costs will be recovered from the applicant.

The Council may remit any charge referred to in the tables, in part or in full, on a case by case basis, and solely at Council's discretion.

There will be no charge for the first hour of Council officer time in responding to queries in advance of a resource consent application being lodged. After the first hour, costs will be accrued and applicants (or potential applicants) will be invoiced for staff time, and consultant and technical costs, plus disbursements whether an application is lodged or not.

Mileage will be charged at \$0.55 cents per kilometre. In relation to staff travel time, each visit may only be charged to a maximum of 2 hours per visit. This ensures those consent applicants or consent holders who are located in remote locations are not unduly penalised.

Costs for hearing commissioners will be recovered at actual and reasonable rates, including disbursements. Costs associated with councillors will be recovered at the rates identified in the Other Charges table detailed below.

These charges shall come into effect on 1 July 2019 and remain in effect until 30 June 2020.

Schedule of additional charges

The processing of consent applications (including certificates of compliance and existing use certificates), any review and variation processes and the issue of DPA notices may require further charges that exceed the fixed preliminary deposit or further fixed deposit identified above. In these cases the following schedule of charges shall form the basis for calculating and charging actual and reasonable costs under Section 36(5). Any additional charges will have regard to the factors in Section 36(4) of the RMA.

Applicants have the ability to object to additional charges levied by the Council under Section 36(5) on the basis that they do not reflect actual costs or are unreasonable, but a similar right does not exist in respect of the fixed deposits set out above.

Methodology

Additional charges are calculated by multiplying staff time (including travel) by the hourly rate (as set out below), plus disbursements (such as specialist advice).

Charges for council officers and decision makers

The hourly rates for Council officers and decision makers will be relied on when calculating any additional charges under the RMA (both processing and monitoring components). All hourly rates are GST exclusive. Please note that not all positions are detailed in the list below. In these circumstances the hourly rate will be calculated based on actual employment costs plus a factor to cover administration and operating costs in receiving, processing, granting and monitoring resource consents.

Staff hourly charge rates

ROLE	HOURLY RATE GST Exclusive
Skilled Labourer	\$51
Consents Administrator	\$55
Policy Planner	\$73
Hydrology Technician	\$74
Consents Planner	\$81
Senior Consents Planner	\$81
Senior Communications Advisor	\$83
Land Management Officers	\$85
Emergency Management Officers	\$87
Consents Monitoring Officer	\$88
Environmental Protection Officer (compliance)	\$88
Environmental Management Officers	\$89
Senior Policy Planner	\$93
Engineering Officer	\$94
Team Leader Consents	\$95
Catchment Coordinators	\$96
Senior Environmental Info Analyst	\$98
Senior Compliance Officer	\$98
Scientist	\$98
District Liaison Officer	\$99
Rural Industry Advisor	\$103
Team Leader Rural Industry Advisor	\$103

ROLE	HOURLY RATE GST Exclusive
Senior Scientist	\$115
Surveyors	\$128
Area/Project Engineer	\$137
Team Leader Compliance	\$145
Regulatory Manager	\$150
Group Manager	\$170
Commissioner	At Cost Plus Disbursements
Hearings Committee Chair and Members	At Cost Plus Disbursements

Other charges

DESCRIPTOR	CHARGE RATE GST Exclusive
Pre-lodgement checking and advice (first hour)	\$ 0.00
Pre-lodgement checking and advice (subsequent hour)	@ Hourly Rate
Replacement copies and other documents (per page)	\$ 1.00
Consultant and solicitor fees	At Cost Plus Disbursements
Specialist or technical services	At Cost Plus Disbursements
Legal advice	At Cost Plus Disbursements
Hearings	At Cost
Production of order papers	At Cost
Advertising costs	At Cost Plus Disbursements

DESCRIPTOR	CHARGE RATE
	GST Exclusive
Independent commissioners	At Cost Plus Disbursements
Hearing committee chair and members	At Cost Plus Disbursements
Councillor as chairperson of a hearing	\$100 per Hour of Hearing Time
Councillor on a hearing (but not chairperson)	\$80 per Hour of Hearing Time

Notes

Solicitor fees include, but are not limited to, costs associated with attendance at consent hearings and court.

C. Compliance monitoring charges

Pursuant to Section 36(1)(c) of the RMA, Council can recover the actual and reasonable costs associated with the monitoring and supervision of resource consents and certificates of compliance. This includes the costs associated with assessing whether consent holders are complying with their resource consents. How much compliance monitoring is required will vary depending on the nature of the activity, its size and frequency, and the potential for environmental effects.

Additionally, under Section 36(1)(cc) Council can recover costs associated with monitoring those activities permitted by a national environmental standard (NES) if that NES provides for monitoring the costs associated with the NES.

Apart from those activities listed in the fixed schedule of charges below, annual costs associated with monitoring resource consents and any NES will be recovered via a combination of a fixed annual preliminary charge (as a deposit) and additional charges where the initial charge is insufficient, based on:

- Staff time multiplied by the chargeable hourly rate identified in Schedule of Charges, plus disbursements (such as specialist advice).

The fixed annual preliminary charge accounts for costs associated with the first compliance assessment, with any further time to undertake the total annual monitoring activity recovered through additional charges based on actual and reasonable costs. Additional charges (over and above the annual preliminary charge) will also account for extra compliance monitoring (including site visits, sampling, assessment, reporting and follow up processes), which is required as a result of non-compliance with consent conditions.

In the event consultants are required to assist in monitoring compliance, the costs associated with this will be recovered from the consent holder at cost plus disbursements.

Annual fixed charges

ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED CHARGE GST Inclusive
Aquifer drilling and on-going monitoring	\$ 224.00
Farm culverts, bridges and fords (excludes those required under intensive land-use consents and associated with infrastructure projects)	\$ 224.00
Domestic on-site wastewater	\$ 224.00

Fixed annual preliminary compliance charge

ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED ANNUAL PRELIMINARY COMPLIANCE CHARGE GST Inclusive
Freshwater	
Telemetered irrigation and stock water takes	\$ 122.00
Un-telemetered stock water takes	\$ 224.00
Un-telemetered irrigation takes	\$ 224.00
Municipal water takes	\$ 327.00
Major industrial water takes: Category 1 and Category 2 sites	\$ 941.00
Minor industrial water takes: Category 3 and Category 4 sites	\$ 429.00
Waste Management	
Major discharges to water: Category 1 to Category 3 municipal wastewater treatment plant discharges, plus other Category 1 and Category 2 industrial discharges to water	\$ 1,043.00
Minor discharges to water: Category 3 and Category 4 discharges to water	\$ 326.00

ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED ANNUAL PRELIMINARY COMPLIANCE CHARGE GST Inclusive
Major discharges to air: Category 1 and Category 2 discharges to air	\$ 1,043.00
Minor discharges to air: Category 3 to Category 4 discharges to air	\$ 429.00
Major discharges to land: Category 1 and Category 2 discharges to land	\$ 1,043.00
Minor discharges to land: Category 3 and Category 4 discharges to land	\$ 327.00
Other on-site wastewater	\$ 327.00
Rural	
Farm dairy effluent	\$ 224.00
Intensive land use	\$ 224.00
Piggery discharges	\$ 429.00
Infrastructure	
Major use or disturbance of land (e.g. earthworks, vegetation clearance, land based gravel extraction and quarry operations) – earthworks that have a medium to high risk of discharging into the receiving environment	\$ 839.00
Minor use or disturbance of land (e.g. earthworks, vegetation clearance, land based gravel extraction and quarry operations) – earthworks activities that have a low risk of discharging into receiving environment.	\$ 429.00
Land disturbance, vegetation clearance and other activities associated with forestry activities (including activities that require consent under the National Environmental Standard Production Forestry)	\$ 429.00
Major discharge of stormwater – Category 1 and Category 2 discharges	\$ 1,04

ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED ANNUAL PRELIMINARY COMPLIANCE CHARGE GST Inclusive
	3.00
Minor discharge of stormwater – Category 3 and Category 4 discharges	\$ 225.00
Works in beds of rivers or lakes, including gravel extraction and construction of culverts, bridges and fords	\$ 225.00
Activities Permitted by a National Environment Standard	
*National Environmental Standard Production Forestry (NES-PF)	\$ 429.00
Other Activities	
Covers activities that require resource consent that do not fit within the consent activities above, but which may have environmental effects and will require some supervision and monitoring by Council	\$ 429.00

*The NES-PF specifies which activities councils may charge for through Regulation 106, These activities relate to earthworks (Regulation 24), river crossings (Regulation 37), forestry quarrying (Regulation 51) and harvesting (Regulation 63(2))

Annual consents administration charge

The resource consent annual administration charge fee of \$36 excluding GST per consent contributes to the costs Council incurs in undertaking its consenting, monitoring and administrative functions required under the Resource Management Act 1991. This includes maintaining consent and compliance information, reviewing annual charges and ensuring information on our databases and files is accurate and current. This charge is the same for all categories of resource consent and will apply to all those consents that are to be, or are likely to be, monitored as part of Council's compliance programme.

This charge applies to those consents that are subject to a Section 36 monitoring charge. Consent holders that do not receive a Section 36 monitoring charge will not receive the administration charge. The administration charge will be invoiced alongside the Section 36 monitoring charge process.

D. Research and monitoring charges

The following charges, made pursuant to Section 36(1)(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991, are payable by holders of resource consents and offset the Council's costs for its surface water, ground water, and gravel resource research and monitoring programmes.

Overview of charging policy

The net cost of services for this output reflects Council's policies as follows:

- Council's policy (as outlined in the Revenue and Financing Policy) is to recover from consent holders 60 per cent of the costs of research and monitoring relating to gravel resources and 30 per cent of the costs relating to water quantity. For water quantity charges, Council has introduced a scale of fees based on restrictions on water takes and portions of the year during which the take occurs; and
- Council's policy (as outlined in the Revenue and Financing Policy) is to recover from consent holders 30 per cent of the costs of research and monitoring relating to water quality.

Schedule of charges

CHARGES FOR SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER TAKES

KCE Mangahao Limited	Mangahao – \$11,029
Genesis Power Limited	Tongariro Power Development – \$43,195 Plus additional costs for specific projects as per the Schedule of Administrative Charges.
NZ Energy Limited	\$1,986
KCE Piriaka	\$11,029
Other non-domestic power schemes less than 0.2 CMS and greater than 0.05 CMS	A charge of \$220 until separate charge negotiated.
Other non-domestic power schemes less than 0.05 CMS	Nil
Other holders of permits	A charge of \$30.63 plus \$0.50 per cubic metre authorised as a maximum daily take.

CHARGES FOR LAND USE CONSENTS

Holders of land use consents to remove gravel*	\$0.48 per cubic metre extracted.
--	-----------------------------------

- The cost of gravel per cubic metre rate is calculated as the overall required revenue divided by the expected total volume extracted in cubic metres, plus a 15 per cent contingency. The costs may change throughout the Long-term Plan if there are strong environmental or river engineering benefits.

WATER QUALITY CHARGES FOR DISCHARGE CONSENTS

Discharge Monitoring	2019-20 Annual Fee
AFFCO Feilding	4,948
DB Breweries	9,178
Fonterra – Longburn	3,365
Fonterra – Pahiatua	1,692
Horowhenua District Council	7,990
Manawatū District Council	24,995
NZ Defence Force	7,072
Palmerston North City Council	9,159
Rangitikei District Council	26,705
Riverlands Manawatū	4,157
Ruapehu District Council	28,594
Scanpower	5,093
Winstone Pulp	4,840

Consent Monitoring (Contracted)	2019-20 Annual Fee
Tararua District Council	As per contract

State of Environment Monitoring and Research Type and Quantity	2019-20 Annual Fee
Discharge to Land <25	176
Discharge to Land >25 to 50	235
Discharge to Land >50 to 100	351
Discharge to Land >100 to 200	469
Discharge to Land >200 to 1,000	1,564
Discharge to Land >1,000 to 10,000	1,759
Discharge to Land 10,000+	1,955
Discharge to Water <25	352
Discharge to Water >25 to 50	469
Discharge to Water >50 to 100	704
Discharge to Water >100 to 200	938
Discharge to Water >200 to 1,000	3,127
Discharge to Water >1,000 to 10,000	3,518
Discharge to Water 10,000+	3,909
Unspecified Volume Discharge	1,955
Land Fill – High Impact	3,909
Land Fill – Medium Impact	586
Land Fill – Low Impact	195

Notes:

1. All charges are payable on request.
2. These charges shall come into effect on 1 July 2019 and remain in effect until 30 June 2020. These charges are reviewed annually for each Annual Plan and are likely to increase in line with increased costs.
3. In setting these charges, the Council has had regard to the criteria set out in Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. All charges are exclusive of GST.
5. Where the consent does not cover the full year, all research and monitoring annual fees and/or cubic metre charges will be pro-rated based on the commenced and/or expiry dates of the consent. This excludes the surface and groundwater charge of \$30.63.
6. Specified fees for discharge monitoring and consent monitoring apply to specific consents holders, and are additional to general State of Environment Monitoring and Research charges

E. Dam consents, project information memoranda (pims) and dam safety charges

The following charges, made pursuant to Section 243 of the Building Act, are payable by dam owners and related to goods and services provided by Council staff. While fixed charges have been set, it is Council policy to recover actual and reasonable costs incurred on behalf of dam owners in relation to dam consents, PIMs and dam safety work.

Overview of charging policy

The net costs of services for this output reflect Council’s policies as follows:

- a. Council’s policy (as outlined in the Revenue and Financing Policy) is to recover 100 per cent of costs from dam owners.

Schedule of charges

Dam consent, pim and safety work charges

Please note these charges are the minimum charges. Additional charges may be incurred based on actual and reasonable costs for staff time, expert advice and other disbursements.

ACTIVITY TYPE	FIXED MINIMUM CHARGE	LODGEMENT FEE
Dam Project Information Memoranda (PIM)		
(i) Large Value Dam (above \$100,000)	\$1,000	\$1,000
(ii) Medium Value Dam (\$20,000 to \$99,999)	\$750	\$750
(iii) Small Value Dam (\$0 to \$19,999)	\$500	\$500
Dam Building Consent and Certificate of Acceptance *1		
(i) Large Value Dam (above \$100,000)	\$1,000	\$1,000
(ii) Medium Value Dam (\$20,000 to \$99,999)	\$750	\$750
(iii) Small Value Dam (\$0 to \$19,999)	\$500	\$500
*1 The charges associated with building consent applications are those that are directly applied by Waikato Regional Council (WRC) as these functions have been transferred to WRC. It is therefore advised to contact WRC		
www.waikatoregion.govt.nz to check building consent application charges and charge-out rates. Please note that building consents incur BRANZ and Department of Building and Housing levies. These are payable to WRC		
Lodge dam potential impact category	\$100	\$100
Review dam safety assurance programme	\$100	\$100
Lodge annual dam safety compliance certificate	\$100	\$100
Policy implementation – dangerous dams, earthquake-prone dams and flood-prone dams	\$100	\$100
Amendment to compliance schedule	\$100	\$100
Any other activity under the Building Act 2004 (actual and reasonable costs)	\$100	N/A

CHARGES FOR COUNCIL OFFICERS AND DECISION MAKERS

The hourly rates (stated in the table found on page 243) for the council officers and decision makers will be charged for work under the Building Act 2004 that do not have a fixed charge or where the fixed charge is inadequate to cover the actual and reasonable costs of Council.

F. Totara Reserve camping fees

The following charges are made pursuant to Sections 103(2) Local Government Act 2002 and are payable by campers at the Totara Reserve.

Overview of charging policy

The net costs of services for this output reflect Council's policies as follows:

- a. Council's policy (as outlined in the Revenue and Financing Policy) is to recover from campers 30 per cent to 45 per cent of the costs of running the Totara Reserve Camp.

Schedule of charges

Camp Fees

Powered site:	\$35.00 (for two people) plus \$5.00 per person per night
Non-powered site:	\$15.00 (for two people) plus \$5.00 per person per night
Children under 5:	Free

Camp Rangī Woods Rental

As negotiated with the Camp Rangī Woods Trust Board.

Notes:

1. All charges are payable on request.
2. These charges shall come into effect on 1 July 2019 and remain in effect until 30 June 2020.
3. All charges are inclusive of GST.

G. Other administrative charges

The following charges are made pursuant to Sections 36(1)(a), (c), (e) and (f) of the Resource Management Act 1991, and/or Section 13 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA), and are payable firstly by applicants for the preparation or change of a policy statement or plan; secondly by persons seeking information in respect of plans and resource consents; and finally by persons seeking the supply of documents.

Schedule of charges

Application for the preparation of a plan	A deposit of \$1,000 or the actual and reasonable costs of notification, whichever is the greater.
Application to change a policy statement or plan	A deposit of \$600 or the actual and reasonable costs of notification, whichever is the greater.
Information for general education/public use and normal public enquiries	No charge for first half hour or 20 A4 photocopies. Beyond that, actual and reasonable costs may be charged.
Information for planning, technical and commercial purposes	Actual and reasonable cost recovery.

Photocopies	Black and White	Colour
A4 single sided	10c per page	60c per page
A4 double sided	20c per page	\$1.20 per page
A3 single sided	20c per page	80c per page
A3 double sided	40c per page	\$1.60 per page
A2 single sided	\$14.00 per page	Not available

Copying charges are GST inclusive and should be paid immediately by cash, eftpos or cheque. For large amounts, credit may be approved on application.

Supply of Council documents	A set charge may be made for recovery of production costs.
Request for information from Council databases	After first half hour, \$90 per hour.

Notes:

1. Staff costs per hour will be charged as per the table on page 243.
2. These charges shall come into effect on 1 July 2019 and remain in effect until 30 June 2020.
3. In accordance with Section 13 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act (LGOIMA), a deposit for part of a charge for Council information may be sought in advance of a request being actioned.
4. In making these charges the Council has had regard to the criteria set out in Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991, and the practice guides accompanying the LGOIMA.
5. All charges are exclusive of GST.

H. Financial contributions (Pursuant to Section 108 of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Overview

The Council's Regional Plan for Beds of Rivers and Lakes and Associated Activities (Section 22) provides for financial contributions "where the activity for which consent is granted will cause or contribute to adverse effects on flood protection or erosion control works." The purpose of the financial contribution is "to mitigate or offset the adverse effects of the activity by protecting, restoring or enhancing the river bed, bank and/or flood protection or erosion control works, including (without limitation) maintenance and planting of vegetation, sediment replenishment, flood protection works, and including a contribution to such measures elsewhere in the general locality."

Schedule of charges

GRAVEL EXTRACTION – RANGITĪKEI RIVER

Holders of consents to take	A financial contribution of \$2 (excluding GST) per cubic metre of gravel extracted is set to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects. This financial contribution is applicable to land use consents for gravel extraction in the Rangitīkei River and its tributaries where there is a consent condition requiring a financial contribution to be made for this purpose. The amount payable is set for the period 1 July 2019-30 June 2020.
-----------------------------	---

Annual Plan Disclosure Statement for the Year Ending 30 June 2020
Pursuant to Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and Section 243 of the Building Act 2004

What is the purpose of this statement?

The purpose of this statement is to disclose the council's planned financial performance in relation to various benchmarks to enable the assessment of whether the council is prudently managing its revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities, and general financial dealings.

The council is required to include this statement in its annual plan in accordance with the [Local Government \(Financial Reporting and Prudence\) Regulations 2014](#) (the regulations). Refer to the regulations for more information, including definitions of some of the terms used in this statement.

Benchmark	HRCs benchmark	Planned	Met
Rates affordability benchmark			
• income	\$48.200 M	\$46.723 M	Yes
• increases	7.70%	4.72%	Yes
Debt affordability benchmark	\$115.100 M	\$38.000 M	Yes
Balanced budget benchmark	100%	106.70%	Yes
Essential services benchmark	100%	551.05%	Yes
Debt servicing benchmark	10%	2.41%	Yes

Notes

1 Rates affordability benchmark

- (1) For this benchmark,—
 - (a) the council's planned rates income for the year is compared with [a quantified limit/quantified limits] on rates contained in the financial strategy included in the council's LTP; and
 - (b) the council's planned rates increases for the year are compared with [a quantified limit/quantified limits] on rates increases for the year contained in the financial strategy included in the council's LTP.
- (2) The council meets the rates affordability benchmark if—
 - (a) its planned rates income for the year equals or is less than each quantified limit on rates; and
 - (b) its planned rates increases for the year equal or are less than each quantified limit on rates increases.

2 Debt affordability benchmark

- (1) For this benchmark, the council's planned borrowing is compared with [a quantified limit/quantified limits] on borrowing contained in the financial strategy included in the council's LTP.
- (2) The council meets the debt affordability benchmark if its planned borrowing is within each quantified limit on borrowing.

3 Balanced budget benchmark

- (1) For this benchmark, the council's planned revenue (excluding development contributions, vested assets, financial contributions, gains on derivative financial instruments, and revaluations of property, plant, or equipment) is presented as a proportion of its planned operating expenses (excluding losses on derivative financial instruments and revaluations of property, plant, or equipment).
- (2) The council meets the balanced budget benchmark if its revenue equals or is greater than its operating expenses.

4 Essential services benchmark

- (1) For this benchmark, the council's planned capital expenditure on network services is presented as a proportion of expected depreciation on network services.
- (2) The council meets the essential services benchmark if its planned capital expenditure on network services equals or is greater than expected depreciation on network services.

5 Debt servicing benchmark

- (1) For this benchmark, the council's planned borrowing costs are presented as a proportion of planned revenue (excluding development contributions, financial contributions, vested assets, gains on derivative financial instruments, and revaluations of property, plant, or equipment).
- (2) Because Statistics New Zealand projects that the council's population will grow [as fast as, or faster than, /slower than] the national population growth rate, it meets the debt servicing benchmark if its planned borrowing costs equal or are less than 10 per cent of its planned revenue.



CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S UPDATE TO COUNCIL

Tuesday, 28 May 2019

Michael McCartney – Chief Executive

1. Attached for information is a detailed update on key organisational activities. Members are asked to view the upcoming activities and advise us of any meetings/events that may be of interest.
2. A full Chief Executive's Report will be provided at the June 2019 Council Meeting.

Attachments

Annex A Council Update for May 2019

ACTIVITIES

Ruapehu

River Management

1. Bank protection work fully-funded by Ruapehu District Council to protect a section of a non-scheme stopbank beside Pungapunga River near Mananui was completed.

Whanganui

River Management

2. The GM met with Whanganui District Council staff on 10 May 2019 to update progress around central government funding for the lower Whanganui River training structures.

Strategy & Regulation

3. Staff will be delivering RMA 101 training to multiple iwi at the Te Aroha Marae in Kaiwi. Iwi attending will be Ngaa Rauru, Ngaati Pukeko and Ngaati Iti.

Manawatū

Natural Resources & Partnerships

4. A Manawatū River Leaders' Accord meeting was held in Palmerston North on 7 May 2019.

Regional Services & Information

5. Emergency Management Office (EMO) attended a Tararua Emergency Management Committee meeting on 9 May 2019.
6. 22 May 2019 – Feilding Public Transport Service Advisory Group meeting.

Tararua

River Management

7. Te Kaauru River Strategy meeting with Ngāti Rangitāne was held on 3 May 2019.
8. Staff attended a Community meeting with Woodville District's Vision on 7 May 2019.

Regional Services & Information

9. 6 May 2019 – Staying Safe Senior Driver Course, Pahiatua.
10. 22 May 2019 – Child restraint & seatbelt checks, Pahiatua & Woodville.

Strategy & Regulation

11. 8 May 2019 – meeting with six Tararua District Councillors on the proposed intent of the Nutrient Management Plan change.
12. 13 May 2019 – Attended the Dairy NZ water quality update and provided a five minute update on the Nutrient Management Plan change.

Palmerston North City

River Management

13. A He Ara Kotahi Working Party meeting was held on 28 May 2019.

Regional Services & Information

14. PN Roads and Streets Framework Workshop was held on 2 May 2019.
15. Regional GIS Forum hosted by Horizons was held on 3 May 2019.
16. Civil Defence Emergency Management (CDEM) Coordinating Executive meeting hosted by Horizons was held on 15 May 2019.
17. CDEM Welfare Coordination Group meeting hosted by Horizons was held on 23 May 2019.
18. CDEM Regional Local Welfare Managers' forum hosted by Horizons on 31 May 2019.

Strategy & Regulation

19. Meeting with NZTA officials to discuss enabling consents and establishment of a Special Projects Team.
20. Staff attended the first Community Liaison Group meeting for the Mercury Turitea windfarm.

Horowhenua

River Management

21. Horowhenua Shared Pathway Network group meeting at Horowhenua District Council on 21 May 2019.

Regional Services & Information

22. 29 May 2019 – Child restraint & seatbelt checks, Horowhenua.

Rangitikei

Natural Resources & Partnerships

23. The Rangitikei Te Mana O Te Wai Governance Group met in Palmerston North on 17 May 2019.

River Management

24. Rangitikei River Scheme Strategy presentation to Nga Puna Rau O Rangitikei on 17 May 2019.

CORPORATE & GOVERNANCE UPDATE

25. Regional Sector meeting held 3 May 2019.
26. Strategy & Policy Committee meeting held 14 May 2019.
27. Environment Committee meeting held 14 May 2019.
28. Council meeting to adopt Annual Plan held 28 May 2019.
29. Audit, Risk and Investment Financial Briefing held 28 May 2019.
30. Councillors' Workshop on Insurance held 28 May 2019.
31. Councillors' Workshop on Policy Planning Part 2 held 28 May 2019.
32. Councillors' Workshop on Climate Change and One Plan – Plan Change Proposals held 29 May 2019.
33. Combined PNCC/Horizons Councillor meeting held 29 May 2019.

Number of FTEs

34. The total number of FTEs is: 238.

COMMUNICATIONS UPDATE

35. Staff attended the Manawatū River Leaders' Forum to present on progress report, website and festival evaluation.
36. Staff assisted the people and capability team with organisation of the Careers Expo in Palmerston North.
37. Staff hosted a Pint of Science event in Whanganui on 21 May 2019 at the Mixx Bar as part of promoting the 2019 State of Environment report.
38. Staff attended a planting day at Mowhanau Stream in Whanganui on 22 May 2019 with the freshwater team.
39. Staff hosted another Pint of Science event in Palmerston North on 22 May 2019 at the Brewer's Apprentice.

UPCOMING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES

River Management

40. 8 June 2019 – a public meeting will be held at Manakau Hall with Waikawa Beach residents to present the findings of the Tonkin and Taylor work and to outline next steps around management of the stream mouth.

GENERAL

Natural Resources & Partnerships

41. Staff attended a Science & Technical Advisory Group meeting in Wellington on 1 May 2019.
42. Staff held a water quality workshop in Palmerston North on 2 May 2019.
43. Staff attended an Essential Freshwater Regional Sector Advisory Group meeting on 15 May 2019.
44. A Te Āpiti Manawatū Gorge Governance Group meeting was held at Horizons on 21 May 2019.

River Management

45. 3 May 2019 – meeting of the River Managers' Special Interest Group sub-group (sector initiatives around resourcing, profile, better/more effective collaboration, research priorities and central government funding).
46. 9 May 2019 – update from GNS Science around Manawatu gorge landslide dam work (determining whether it's possible for a landslide of sufficient size to be generated that might block the river).
47. 9 May 2019 – meeting with the NZ Society on Large Dams (NZSOLD) to discuss the work of the International Conference on Large Dams (ICOLD) levees (stopbanks) technical committee.
48. 24 May 2019 – meeting with the Corporate and Governance Special Interest Group (C&G SIG) to discuss insurance, with the intent of asking the C&G SIG to compile a sector picture around River Management insurance.

Regional Services & Information

49. 16-17 May 2019 – Transport Special Interest Group meeting.
50. 22 May 2019 – Accessing Central NZ Governance Group.
51. 23-24 May 2019 – meeting of Information Technology Special Interest Group.
52. EMO Manager attended National Emergency Management Development Group meeting held 30-31 May 2019 in Christchurch, hosted by the Ministry of Civil Defence & Emergency Management.

Strategy & Regulation

53. 15 May 2019 – staff hosted a workshop discussing the results of scenario testing of the draft provisions for the Nutrient Management Plan change.
54. 20 May 2019 – attendance at the Dairy Leaders' Group meeting and provided an update on the Nutrient Management Plan change.
55. 22-23 May 2019 – attendance at the Landwise Conference "Rethinking Best Practice" focusing on horticulture.

Report No.	19-103
Decision Required	

EXISTING INTENSIVE FARMING LAND USES PLAN CHANGE (PLAN CHANGE 2)

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. This report seeks approval to publicly notify the **Proposed Existing Intensive Farming Land Use Plan Change (Plan Change 2)**.

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2.1. Plan Change 2 proposes amendments to address issues within the One Plan's nutrient management policy and rule framework, while retaining the plan's original intent – to balance productive farming with the quality and health of fresh water resources. As reductions in nutrients, pathogens and sediment are stalled until the provisions are amended and clarified, the plan change needs to be progressed as quickly as possible.
- 2.2. The plan change is focused on existing intensive farming land uses, and includes the following key amendments:
 - Recalibrate Table 14.2 **Cumulative Nitrogen Leaching Maximums (CNLM)** with the most up-to-date version of Overseer; and
 - Introduce amendments that provide a viable policy and rule framework to assess existing intensive farming land use activities that do not achieve Table 14.2 cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums.

Plan Change 2 does not propose any amendments to water quality targets, or the natural capital approach used to manage nutrients.

- 2.3. Council is asked to approve the plan change for public notification. Notification would occur in July and would be followed by an extended consultation period (from the standard 20 working days to 60 working days). It is anticipated that submissions will be heard by independent commissioners in February 2020, and the decision released in mid-2020. Submitters would have the opportunity to appeal the decision to the Environment Court.

3. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-103;
- b. confirms that Proposed Plan Change 2 is consistent with the plan change scope, being:
 - i. amending the nutrient management policy and rule framework to provide a viable pathway under which resource consent applications for intensive farming land use activities that cannot achieve Table 14.2 cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums can be assessed under the One Plan; and
 - ii. updating the Plan's nitrogen leaching limits using the latest version of Overseer, so they are aligned with the latest science.
- c. resolves to proceed with Proposed Plan Change 2 having had particular regard to the evaluation report prepared under section 32 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (Act);

- d. approves Proposed Plan Change 2 for public notification in accordance with clause 5(1)(b)(i) of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act;
- e. resolves that minor amendments to the wording of Proposed Plan Change 2 and section 32 evaluation report consistent with the scope of the plan change can be made by the Chief Executive prior to notification, in response to further legal and expert advice;
- f. resolves that the submission period be set at 60 working days (acknowledging that the minimum period allowed is 20 working days), as provided for by clause 5(3)(b) Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991; and
- g. resolves to appoint a 'friend of the submitter' to support community participation in Plan Change 2.

4. FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 4.1. There is no impact on existing budgets as a result of this report.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- 5.1. There has been consistent engagement with iwi, stakeholder groups and the wider community around the difficulties in implementing the One Plan's nutrient management provisions and how we might resolve them, including during the development of a draft plan change proposal.
- 5.2. Community engagement over and above the consultation requirements of Schedule 1 of the **Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)**, discussed in sections 11 and 12 below, is expected to continue throughout the plan change process.
- 5.3. Further detail of engagement during development of the plan change is provided in the section 32 evaluation report.

6. SIGNIFICANT BUSINESS RISK IMPACT

- 6.1. Freshwater management issues attract public interest, and there is a lack of consensus around solutions or approaches. A loss of public or ministerial confidence in Horizons' ability to make timely and appropriate decisions would have significant ramifications. The business risk to Horizons is somewhat mitigated by ongoing engagement with the Minister for the Environment and Ministry officials, stakeholders and iwi during policy development.
- 6.2. Legal risk has been mitigated by seeking advice during development of the plan change provisions. It is intended that staff will continue work closely with our legal advisors as the formal planning process rolls out.
- 6.3. There is potential for a large number of consent applications to be received in a short timeframe following notification. This could have resourcing implications given strict RMA process timeframes, and the penalties and potential impact on Horizons' reputation from not meeting them.

7. CONTEXT OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 2

- 7.1. The One Plan nutrient management framework balances productive farming with the quality and health of fresh water resources. While the original intent of the One Plan was not flawed, the provisions in the final, operative plan did not fully reflect this intent. This has been exacerbated by changes to the Overseer model since the plan was developed.

- 7.2. Horizons' application of the One Plan provisions, in considering nutrient management consents, was challenged by Fish & Game and the Environmental Defence Society. This resulted in a declaration by the Environment Court in March 2017 that effectively put an end to the consenting team considering any application for consent that did not meet the CNLM in Table 14.2.
- 7.3. Work was carried out during 2018 to update Table 14.2, as the first step in a staged plan review process to address the One Plan nutrient management framework issues, including exploring the use of a streamlined planning process. Subsequently, independent legal and planning advice commissioned by the Minister for the Environment was released in November 2019. This advice confirmed that:
- The changes to the Overseer model meant that most unconsented intensive farming land uses would not be able to meet Table 14.2; and
 - The policy framework does not, in practice, provide a viable pathway for consent to be granted for intensive farming land uses that cannot meet the CNLM in Table 14.2, even though the rules are written to provide for this to be considered.
- 7.4. This confirmed Horizons' view that reductions in nutrients, pathogens and sediment are stalled until the intensive farming land use provisions are put right.

8. SCOPE OF THE PLAN CHANGE

- 8.1. As previously discussed by Council, Proposed Plan Change 2 is narrowly focused to:
- Amend the nutrient management policy and rule framework to provide a viable pathway under which resource consent applications for intensive farming land use activities that cannot (and likely will not in future) achieve Table 14.2 cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums can be assessed under the One Plan.
 - Update the Plan's nitrogen leaching limits using the latest version of Overseer, so they are aligned with the latest science.
- 8.2. It should also be noted that the proposed amendments generally apply to the One Plan's nutrient management provisions for existing intensive farming land uses in target catchments only. The intent of the One Plan nutrient management provisions will not change as a result of Plan Change 2. There are no changes proposed to water quality targets, or the natural capital approach used to manage nutrients.
- 8.3. Adjusting the policy and rule framework for new intensive farming land uses (conversions) is set aside for Plan Change 3. This approach recognises the need to make progress while allowing time to undertake the more extensive work needed to determine how to manage equity issues and environmental effects arising from any new intensive land use that does not meet the CNLM in Table 14.2.

9. PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 2 CONTENT

- 9.1. The proposed wording for Plan Change 2 is attached as **ANNEX A**. The accompanying evaluation of the objectives of the plan change required by section 32 RMA will be attached as supplementary **ANNEX B** prior to the Regional Council meeting.
- 9.2. The purpose of the section 32 evaluation is to:
- Say why the plan change is needed;
 - Identify the potential options to address the issues;
 - Evaluate the options; and

- Record why the proposed plan change is the most appropriate way to achieve water quality objectives in the One Plan.

9.3. The key components addressed in the section 32 are:

- Remedy the adverse effect Overseer model improvements have on implementation of Table 14.2 cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums;
- Lack of a viable pathway for consent applications for intensive farming land use activities that cannot achieve Table 14.2 cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums; and
- The need to make the changes as soon as practicable so water quality improvements in targeted Water Management Sub-zones are achieved as intended in the One Plan.

9.4. The key changes in Proposed Plan Change 2 are:

- Recalibrate Table 14.2 CNLM with the most up-to-date version of Overseer; and
- Introduce amendments that provide a viable policy and rule framework to assess existing intensive farming land use activities that do not achieve Table 14.2 cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums.

9.5. Once the provisions have been notified, they have legal effect – that is, they will be considered alongside the current (operative) policies and rules during consent application processes.

10. DECISION MAKING

10.1. Council has a number of key decisions to make during the Plan Change 2 process:

- Notification of the proposed plan change;
- Appointment of hearing commissioners to make decisions regarding submissions on the plan change; and
- Approving the final plan change so it can be made operative at the end of the process.

Council can also consider whether to withdraw the plan change at any point before resolving to approve the changes and making them operative (or before Environment Court hearings commence, if there are any appeals).

10.2. There will be regular reporting to Council at key points throughout the plan change process, so members are well informed of progress and the formal responses of the community, stakeholders and iwi to the proposed changes through submissions.

11. CONSULTATION

11.1. Formal consultation is a requirement of the plan change process and is prescribed by Schedule 1 RMA. Requirements include pre-notification consultation with, as a minimum, the Minister for the Environment and any other affected Ministers, local authorities and tangata whenua. It is considered that the community engagement process described in section 5 above will meet these requirements.

11.2. The proposed plan change and supporting evaluation ('section 32') report must be publicly notified, and there must be a period when any person may make a submission on the proposal. Following this, a summary of submissions must be made publically available so further submissions can be made in support or opposition of the content of a submission. Further submissions can be made by anyone representing a relevant aspect of the public interest or with a greater interest than the general public. Submitters and further submitters will be able to present their submission (views or evidence) at a hearing.

- 11.3. The closing date for submissions must be at least 20 working days after notification of the plan change. Notification in July will coincide with an extremely busy period for many in the farming community, the sector most likely to be directly affected by the proposed changes. It is therefore recommended that the notification period be extended to 60 working days to ensure robust and effective participation in the plan change process is possible. The 10 working day period for further submissions is set by the RMA and cannot be extended.

12. COMMUNITY SUPPORT

- 12.1. There is an opportunity to support the communities affected by plan changes, recognising that, no matter what their focus, these processes can affect individuals and businesses. Councillors are asked to consider appointing an independent planning consultant (referred to as 'the friend of the submitter'), to assist those who are unfamiliar with planning process and how to become involved, or who find engagement with local or central government stressful.
- 12.2. The friend of the submitter's role is to advise people on the process for lodging submissions, how they might present their views in a submission, and what happens after a submission is lodged. This is likely to be helpful to individual farmers or members of the community who wish to make their views known to the hearing panel but are unsure how to go about it or what is involved. The friend's role does not include providing advice on the submitters' views.

13. TIMELINE / NEXT STEPS

- 13.1. The following table sets out proposed dates for key steps in the Plan Change 2 process:

Notification of Plan Change 2	22 July 2019
Close of submission period (60 working days)	21 October 2019
Further submission period (10 working days)	4 - 17 November 2019
Hearing of submissions by independent commissioners	Six days over the two weeks commencing 17 February 2020
Decision on submissions by independent commissioners	Mid-2020

- 13.2. Following notification of the decision of the hearing panel on submissions, there will be an opportunity for submitters to lodge an appeal to the Environment Court.

14. RISKS AND MITIGATIONS

- 14.1. Officers have identified a range of risks for Plan Change 2, including technical complexity, scope, uncertainty, resourcing and timing. These, along with their mitigations, have been previously discussed with Council and will continue to be managed.

15. SIGNIFICANCE

- 15.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement as the plan change process is regulated by the RMA rather than the Local Government Act 2002.

Rebecca Tayler
MANAGER STRATEGY & POLICY

Nic Peet
GROUP MANAGER STRATEGY & REGULATION

ANNEXES

- A Proposed Wording for Plan Change 2
- B Evaluation of the Objectives of the Plan Change Required by Section 32 RMA (Supplementary)

Draft Existing Intensive Farming Land Uses Plan Change (Plan Change 2)

Proposed insertions are shown as underlined text; proposed deletions are shown as ~~strikethrough~~.

Policy 5-8: Management and Regulation of intensive farming land[^] use activities affecting groundwater and surface water[^] quality

The effects of intensive farming land[^] use activities on groundwater and surface water[^] quality must be managed in the following manner:

(a) **Nutrients**

- (i) Nitrogen leaching maximums must be established in the regional plan which:
 - (A) take into account all the non-point sources of nitrogen in the catchment
 - ~~(B) will achieve the strategies for surface water[^] quality set out in Policies 5-2, 5-3, 5-4 and 5-5, and the strategy for groundwater quality in Policy 5-6~~
 - ~~(B)~~ ~~(C)~~ recognise the productive capability of land[^] in the *Water Management Sub-zone**
 - ~~(C)~~ ~~(D)~~ are achievable on most farms using *good management practices**
 - ~~(D)~~ ~~(E)~~ provide for appropriate timeframes for achievement where large changes to management practices or high levels of investment are required to achieve the nitrogen leaching maximums.
- (ii) Existing intensive farming land[^] use activities must be regulated in targeted *Water Management Sub-zones** to achieve the nitrogen leaching maximums specified in (i) except as provided for in (iia) and (iib) below.
- (iia) Existing intensive land[^] use activities which do not comply with (ii) must be regulated to reduce nitrogen leaching which is in excess of the nitrogen leaching maximums established under (a) by implementing *good management practice**, and additional measures to minimise the degree of non-compliance, having regard to:
 - (A) the feasibility, practicality, and cost of achieving the nitrogen leaching maximums specified in (i); and
 - (B) the strategy for surface water[^] quality set out in Policies 5-2, 5-3, 5-4 and 5-5, and the strategy for groundwater quality in Policy 5-6.
- (iib) Existing land[^] use activities which do not comply with (ii) but are intended to transition to an alternative non-intensive farming land[^] use must be regulated to ensure that they are able to continue for a limited period of time in order to enable that transition and only where there is no increase in the exceedance of the nitrogen leaching maximums established under (a).

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

- (iii) New intensive farming *land*[^] use activities must be regulated throughout the Region to achieve the nitrogen leaching maximums specified in (i).
- (b) **Faecal contamination**
- (i) Those persons carrying out existing intensive farming *land*[^] use activities in the targeted *Water Management Sub-zones*^{*} listed in Table 14.1 or new conversions to intensive farming *land*[^] use activities anywhere in the Region must be required, amongst other things, to:
- (A) prevent cattle access to some surface *water bodies*[^] and their *beds*[^]
- (B) mitigate faecal contamination of surface *water*[^] from other entry points (eg., race run-off)
- (C) establish programmes for implementing any required changes.
- (c) **Sediment**
- (i) In those *Water Management Sub-zones*^{*} where agricultural *land*[^] use activities are the predominant cause of elevated sediment levels in surface *water*[^], the Regional Council will promote the preparation of voluntary management plans under the Council's Sustainable Land Use Initiative or Whanganui Catchment Strategy for the purpose of reducing the risk of *accelerated erosion*^{*}, as described in Chapter 4.
- (d) **Good management practices^{*}**
- (i) All intensive farming *land*[^] use activities must be regulated to manage nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination, and sediment losses in accordance with *good management practices*^{*}.

Method 5-12	Innovative Land Use Research
Description	<p><u>Support initiatives by local communities, sector groups or tangata whenua which develop options for sustainable land use in the Region. Support for work in <i>Water Management Sub-zones</i>[*] where nitrogen leaching is an issue will be a priority in order to find viable options for intensive farming land users that will have difficulty in achieving the <i>cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums</i>[*] (refer Table 14.1).</u></p> <p><u>Horizons will provide assistance through providing data and information that will assist in the identification and evaluation of innovative land use options and participating in any evaluative work as appropriate.</u></p>
Who	<u>Local communities, rural and other sector groups, Territorial Authorities, Regional Council.</u>
Links to Policy	<u>This method implements Policies 5-7 and 5-8.</u>
Target	<u>Advice and assistance is available for landowners in the Region regarding land use management practices.</u>

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Method 5-13	Provision of Information
<u>Description</u>	<u>Horizons will collate and publish information regarding Overseer version changes and the identification and evaluation of nutrient management models other than Overseer that may be more appropriate for calculation of on-farm nutrient losses.</u>
<u>Who</u>	<u>Regional Council, rural sector groups, and nutrient management model providers.</u>
<u>Links to Policy</u>	<u>This method implements Policy 5-8.</u>
<u>Target</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Horizons will consider whether it needs to respond to changes in Overseer through a plan change process.</u> • <u>A list of nutrient management models appropriate for use in intensive farming land is maintained on Horizons' website.</u>

Policy 14-3: Industry-based standards Good management practices*

~~When making decisions on *resource consent*[^] applications, and setting consent conditions, for *discharges*[^] of *contaminants*[^] onto or into *land*[^], the Regional Council must have regard to *good management practices** will examine on an on-going basis relevant industry-based standards (including guidelines and codes of practice), recognising that such industry based standards generally represent current best practice, and may accept compliance with those standards as being adequate to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse *effects*[^] to the extent that those standards *good management practices** address the matters in Policies 14-1, 14-2, 14-4, and 14-5 and 14-6.~~

...

Policy 14-5: Management of intensive farming *land*[^] uses

In order to give effect to Policy 5-7 and Policy 5-8, intensive farming *land*[^] use activities affecting groundwater and surface *water*[^] quality must be managed in the following manner:

- (a) The following land uses have been identified as intensive farming *land*[^] uses:
 - (i) *Dairy farming**
 - (ii) *Commercial vegetable growing**
 - (iii) *Cropping**
 - (iv) *Intensive sheep and beef**
- (b) The intensive farming *land*[^] uses identified in (a) must be regulated where:
 - (i) They are existing intensive farming *land*[^] uses, in the targeted *Water Management Sub-zones*⁵ identified in Table 14.1.
 - (ii) They are new (ie., established after the Plan has legal effect⁶) intensive farming *land*[^] uses, in all *Water Management Sub-zones** in the Region.
- (c) Nitrogen leaching maximums have been established in Table 14.2.
- (d) ~~Except as provided for in Policy 14-6(d),~~ Existing (ie., established prior to the Plan having legal effect⁷) intensive farming *land*[^] uses regulated in accordance with (b)(i) must be managed to ensure that the leaching of nitrogen from those *land*[^] uses does not exceed the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum** values for each year contained in Table 14.2, ~~unless the circumstances in Policy 14-6 apply.~~

⁵ The Plan has legal effect in the case of existing intensive farming *land*[^] uses in these zones from the dates identified in Table 14.1.

⁶ The Plan has legal effect in the case of *dairy farming** from 24 August 2010 and for *commercial vegetable growing**, *cropping** and *intensive sheep and beef** it has legal effect from 9 May 2013.

⁷ The Plan has legal effect in the case of *dairy farming** from 24 August 2010 and for *commercial vegetable growing**, *cropping**, and *intensive sheep and beef** it has legal effect from 9 May 2013.

- (e) New intensive farming *land*[^] uses regulated in accordance with (b)(ii) must be managed to ensure that the leaching of nitrogen from those *land*[^] uses does not exceed the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum*^{*} values for each year contained in Table 14.2.
- (f) Intensive farming *land*[^] uses regulated in accordance with (b) must exclude cattle from:
 - (i) A *wetland*[^] or *lake*[^] that is a *rare habitat*^{*}, *threatened habitat*^{*} or *at-risk habitat*^{*}.
 - (ii) Any *river*[^] that is permanently flowing or has an *active bed*^{*} width greater than 1 metre.
- (g) All places where cattle cross a river that is permanently flowing or has an *active bed*^{*} width greater than 1 metre must be culverted or bridged and those culverts or bridges must be used by cattle whenever they cross the river.

Policy 14-6: Resource consent decision-making for intensive farming *land*[^] uses

When making decisions on *resource consent*[^] applications, and setting consent *conditions*[^], for intensive farming *land*[^] uses the Regional Council must:

- (a) Ensure the nitrogen leaching from the *land*[^] is managed in accordance with Policy 14-5.
- (b) Ensure implementation of *good management practices*^{*} to manage nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment loss, as part of any intensive farming *land*[^] use.

An exception may be made to (a) for existing intensive farming *land*[^] uses in the following circumstances:

- ~~(i) where the existing intensive farming *land*[^] use occurs on land that has 50% or higher of LUC Classes IV to VIII and has an average annual rainfall of 1500 mm or greater; or~~
- ~~(ii) where the existing intensive farming *land*[^] use cannot meet year 1 *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums*^{*} in year 1, they shall be managed through conditions on their resource consent to ensure year 1 *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximums*^{*} are met within 4 years.~~

~~Where an exception is made to the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum*^{*} the existing intensive farming *land*[^] uses must be managed by consent conditions to ensure:~~

- ~~(i) Good management practices to minimise the loss of nitrogen, phosphorus, faecal contamination and sediment are implemented.~~
- ~~(ii) Any losses of nitrogen, which cannot be minimised, are remedied or mitigated, including by other works or environmental compensation. Mitigation works may include but are not limited to, creation of wetland and riparian planted zones.~~
- (c) Ensure that cattle are excluded from surface water in accordance with Policy 14-5 (f) and (g) except where landscape or geographical constraints make stock exclusion impractical and the effects of cattle stock movements are must be avoided, remedied or mitigated. In all cases any unavoidable losses of nitrogen, phosphorus, faecal contamination and sediment are remedied or mitigated by other

works or environmental compensation. Mitigation works may include (but are not limited to) creation of wetland and riparian planted zones.

- (d) Provide for exceptions to (a) for existing intensive farming land[^] uses that exceed the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum** where:
- (i) *Good management practices** are implemented in accordance with a *nutrient management plan**, along with additional innovations and measures to further reduce nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the land[^] progressively over time; or
 - (ii) The existing intensive farming land[^] use is to continue for no longer than five years in order to enable the transition to an alternative non-intensive farming land[^] use without an increase in nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the land[^] over that period of time.
- (e) When determining whether to enable an existing intensive farm land[^] use to continue under (d)(i), have regard to:
- (i) Whether the proposed innovations and measures represent the *best practicable option*[^] to minimise the nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the land[^], having particular regard to:
 - (A) The extent of the exceedance of the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum** in Table 14.2;
 - (B) The rate of reduction of nitrogen loss towards the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum** for any given year in Table 14.2;
 - (C) Whether further reductions are currently possible for the intensive farming land[^] use based on existing technologies.
 - (ii) The extent to which the non-compliance with the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum** specified in Table 14.2 is attributable to updates in versions of OVERSEER;
 - (iii) The nature and characteristics of the land[^], having regard to physical characteristics of the soil including in terms of attenuation capacity, climatic conditions, and topography of the property;
 - (iv) The contribution of the progressive reduction in nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the land[^], over time, to the improvement of water[^] quality within that *Water Management Sub-zone**;
 - (v) The strategy for surface water[^] quality set out in Policies 5-2, 5-3, 5-4 and 5-5, and the strategy for groundwater quality in Policy 5-6.
- (f) When determining whether to enable the existing intensive farming land[^] use to continue under (d)(ii), have regard to:
- (i) Measures implemented in accordance with a *nutrient management plan** to ensure that nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the land[^] do not increase over the duration of the *resource consent*[^];

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

- (ii) good management practices* proposed to avoid, remedy or mitigate nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the *land*[^];
- (iii) the nature, sequencing, measurability and enforceability of any steps proposed to transition out of the intensive farming *land*[^] use by the expiry of the *resource consent*[^].

...

14.1 Rules - Agricultural Activities

Table 14.1 sets out the target *Water Management Sub-zones** where management of existing intensive farming *land*[^] use activities must be specifically controlled.

Table 14.1 Targeted *Water Management Sub-zones**

Catchment	<i>Water Management Sub-zone</i> *	Date the Rules of the Plan have legal effect ² in relation to Rule 14-1
Mangapapa	Mangapapa Mana_9b	1 July 2014
Waikawa	Waikawa West_9a Manakau West_9b	1 July 2014
Other south-west catchments (Papaitonga)	Lake Papaitonga West_8	1 July 2014
Mangatainoka	Upper Mangatainoka Mana_8a Middle Mangatainoka Mana_8b Lower Mangatainoka Mana_8c Makakahi Mana_8d	1 July 2015
Other coastal lakes	Northern Manawatu Lakes West_6 Kaitoke Lakes West_4 Southern Wanganui Lakes West_5	1 July 2015
Coastal Rangitikei	Coastal Rangitikei Rang_4	1 July 2015

² The Plan has legal effect in the case of *dairy farming** from 24 August 2010 and for *commercial vegetable growing**, *cropping** and *intensive sheep and bee** it has legal effect from 9 May 2013.

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Catchment	Water Management Sub-zone*	Date the Rules of the Plan have legal effect ² in relation to Rule 14-1
Lake Horowhenua	Lake Horowhenua Hoki_1a Hokio Hoki_1b	1 July 2015
Upper Manawatu above Hopelands	Upper Manawatu Mana_1a Mangatewainui Mana_1b Mangatoro Mana_1c Weber-Tamaki Mana_2a Mangatera Mana_2b Upper Tamaki Mana_3 Upper Kumeti Mana_4 Tamaki-Hopelands Mana_5a Lower Tamaki Mana_5b Lower Kumeti Mana_5c Oruakeretaki Mana_5d Raparapawai Mana_5e	1 July 2016
Manawatu above gorge	Hopelands-Tiraumea Mana_6 Upper Gorge Mana_9a Mangaatua Mana_9c	1 July 2016

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Table 14.2 sets out the *cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum** for the *land[^]* used for intensive farming *land[^]* use activities within each specified *land use capability class**.

Table 14.2 *Cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum* by Land Use Capability Class**

Period (from the year that the rule has legal effect ⁹³)	LUC* I	LUC* II	LUC* III	LUC* IV	LUC* V	LUC* VI	LUC* VII	LUC* VIII
Year 1	51 30	45 27	40 24	29 18	25 16	24 15	11 8	3 2
Year 5	46 27	42 25	35 24	26 16	20 13	16 10	8 6	3 2
Year 10	44 26	37 22	32 19	23 14	20 13	16 10	8 6	3 2
Year 20	43 25	35 21	30 18	21 13	19 12	16 10	8 6	3 2

Rule	Activity	Classification	Conditions/Standards/Terms	Control/Discretion Non-Notification
14-1 Existing intensive farming <i>land[^]</i> use activities	<p>The use of <i>land[^]</i> pursuant to s9(2) RMA for any of the following types of intensive farming:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) <i>dairy farming*</i> (ii) <i>commercial vegetable growing*</i> (iii) <i>cropping*</i> (iv) <i>intensive sheep and beef farming*</i> <p>that was existing in the <i>Water Management Sub-zones*</i> listed in and from the dates specified in Table 14.1 and any of the following <i>discharges[^]</i> pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA associated with that intensive farming:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the <i>discharge[^]</i> of <i>fertiliser*</i> onto or into <i>land[^]</i> (b) the <i>discharge[^]</i> of <i>contaminants[^]</i> onto or into <i>land[^]</i> from 	Controlled	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) A <i>nutrient management plan*</i> must be prepared for the <i>land[^]</i>, and provided annually to the Regional Council. (b) The activity must be undertaken in accordance with the <i>nutrient management plan*</i> prepared under (a). (c) The <i>nutrient management plan*</i> prepared under (a) must demonstrate that the nitrogen leaching loss from the activity will not exceed the <i>cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum*</i> specified in Table 14.2. (d) Cattle must be excluded from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) <i>wetlands[^]</i> and <i>lakes[^]</i> that are a <i>rare habitat*</i> or <i>threatened habitat*</i>, and (ii) the <i>beds[^]</i> of <i>rivers[^]</i> that are permanently flowing or have an <i>active bed*</i> width greater than 1 m. 	<p>Control is reserved over:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the implementation of the <i>nutrient management plan*</i> (b) compliance with the <i>cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum*</i> specified in Table 14.2 <i>good management practices*</i> to avoid, remedy or mitigate nutrient leaching and run-off, faecal contamination and sediment losses from the <i>land[^]</i> (c) the matters of control in Rule 14-11 (d) avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of odour, dust, <i>fertiliser*</i> drift or effluent drift (e) provision of information including the <i>nutrient management plan*</i> (f) duration of consent

⁹³ The Plan has legal effect in the case of *dairy farming** from 24 August 2010 and for *commercial vegetable growing**, *cropping** and *intensive sheep and beef** it has legal effect from 9 May 2013.

Rule	Activity	Classification	Conditions/Standards/Terms	Control/Discretion Non-Notification
	(i) the preparation, storage, use or transportation of stock feed on <i>production land</i> [^] (ii) the use of a <i>feedpad</i> [*] (c) the <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>grade Aa biosolids</i> [*] or <i>compost</i> [*] onto or into <i>production land</i> [^] (d) the <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>poultry farm litter</i> [*] onto or into <i>production land</i> [^] (e) the <i>discharge</i> [^] of farm <i>animal effluent</i> [*] onto or into <i>production land</i> [^] (or upon expiry or surrender of any existing consent for that <i>discharge</i> [^]) including: (i) effluent from dairy sheds and <i>feedpads</i> [*] (ii) effluent received from piggeries (iii) sludge from farm effluent ponds (iv) poultry farm effluent and any ancillary <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>contaminants</i> [^] into air pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA. Where the existing intensive farming <i>land</i> [^] use is located partly on land within one or more of the <i>water management sub-zones</i> [*] listed in Table 14.1 and partly on other land, this rule only applies: (a) if at least 20% of the existing intensive farming <i>land</i> [^] use is		(e) <i>Rivers</i> [^] that are permanently flowing or have an <i>active bed</i> [*] width greater than 1 m, that are crossed by cattle must be bridged or culverted, and the cattle must cross via that bridge or culvert, and run-off originating from the carriageway of the bridge or culvert must be <i>discharged</i> [^] onto or into <i>land</i> [^] . (f) The <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>fertiliser</i> [*] onto or into <i>land</i> [^] and any ancillary <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>contaminants</i> [^] into air must comply with the <i>conditions</i> [^] of Rule 14-5. (g) The <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>contaminants</i> [^] onto or into <i>land</i> [^] from: (i) the preparation, storage, use or transportation of stock feed on <i>production land</i> [^] , or (ii) the use of a <i>feedpad</i> [*] and any ancillary <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>contaminants</i> [^] into air must comply with the <i>conditions</i> [^] of Rule 14-6. (h) The <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>grade Aa biosolids</i> [*] or <i>compost</i> [*] onto or into <i>production land</i> [^] and any ancillary <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>contaminants</i> [^] into air must comply with the <i>conditions</i> [^] of Rule 14-7. (i) The <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>poultry farm litter</i> [*] onto or into <i>production land</i> [^] and any ancillary <i>discharge</i> [^] of <i>contaminants</i> [^] into air must comply with the <i>conditions</i> [^] of Rule 14-9. (j) The <i>discharge</i> [^] of farm <i>animal effluent</i> [*] onto or into <i>production land</i> [^] including: (i) effluent from dairy sheds and <i>feedpads</i> [*] (ii) effluent received from piggeries (iii) sludge from farm effluent ponds (iv) poultry farm effluent	(g) review of consent <i>conditions</i> [^] (h) compliance monitoring (i) the matters in Policies 14-5, 14-6 and 14-9. <i>Resource consent</i> [^] applications under this <i>rule</i> [^] will not be notified and written approval of affected persons will not be required (notice of applications need not be <i>served</i> [^] on affected persons).

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Rule	Activity	Classification	Conditions/Standards/Terms	Control/Discretion Non-Notification
	located on land within the listed <i>water management sub-zones</i> *; and (b) to the portion of the existing intensive farming <i>land</i> ^a use that is located within the listed <i>water management sub-zones</i> *.		and any ancillary <i>discharge</i> ^a of <i>contaminants</i> ^a into air must comply with the <i>conditions</i> ^a , standards and terms of Rule 14-11.	
14-2 Existing intensive farming <i>land</i>^a use activities not complying with any of the <u>conditions, standards and terms (a), (b) and (d) to (i) of Rule 14-1</u>	The use of <i>land</i> ^a pursuant to s9(2) RMA for any of the following intensive farming: (i) <i>dairy farming</i> * (ii) <i>commercial vegetable growing</i> * (iii) <i>cropping</i> * (iv) <i>intensive sheep and beef farming</i> * that was existing in the <i>Water Management Sub-zones</i> * listed in and from the dates specified in Table 14.1, and any of the following <i>discharges</i> ^a pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA associated with intensive farming, that do not comply with one or more of the <i>conditions</i> ^a , standards and terms of Rule 14-1 (except for (c)): (a) the <i>discharge</i> ^a of <i>fertiliser</i> * onto or into <i>land</i> ^a (b) the <i>discharge</i> ^a of <i>contaminants</i> ^a onto or into <i>land</i> ^a from (i) the preparation, storage, use or transportation of stock feed on <i>production land</i> ^a (ii) the use of a <i>feedpad</i> *	Restricted Discretionary	(a) <u>A <i>nutrient management plan</i>* must be prepared for the <i>land</i>^a, and provided annually to the Regional Council.</u> (b) <u>The activity must be undertaken in accordance with the <i>nutrient management plan</i>* prepared under (a).</u> (c) <u>The <i>nutrient management plan</i>* prepared under (a) must demonstrate that the nitrogen leaching loss from the activity will not exceed the <i>cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum</i>* for any year in Table 14.2.</u>	Discretion is restricted to: (a) preparation of and compliance with a <i>nutrient management plan</i> * for the <i>land</i> ^a (b) the extent of non-compliance with the <i>cumulative nitrogen leaching maximum</i>* specified in Table 14.2 (b) (c) measures <i>good management practices</i> * to avoid, remedy or mitigate nutrient leaching <u>and run-off</u> , faecal contamination and sediment losses from the <i>land</i> ^a (c) (d) measures to exclude cattle from <i>wetlands</i> ^a and <i>lakes</i> ^a that are a <i>rare habitat</i> * or <i>threatened habitat</i> *, and <i>rivers</i> ^a that are permanently flowing or have an <i>active bed</i> * width greater than 1 m (d) (e) the bridging or culverting of <i>rivers</i> ^a that are permanently flowing or have an <i>active bed</i> * width greater than 1 m that are crossed by cattle (e) (f) the matters referred to in the <i>conditions</i> ^a of Rules 14-5, 14-6, 14-7, and 14-9

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Rule	Activity	Classification	Conditions/Standards/Terms	Control/Discretion Non-Notification
	<p>(c) the <i>discharge</i>^A of <i>grade Aa biosolids</i>[*] or <i>compost</i>[*] onto or into <i>production land</i>^A</p> <p>(d) the <i>discharge</i>^A of <i>poultry farm litter</i>[*] onto or into <i>production land</i>^A</p> <p>(e) the <i>discharge</i>^A of <i>farm animal effluent</i>[*] onto or into <i>production land</i>^A (or upon expiry or surrender of any existing consent for that <i>discharge</i>^A) including:</p> <p>(i) effluent from dairy sheds and <i>feedpads</i>[*]</p> <p>(ii) effluent received from piggeries</p> <p>(iii) sludge from farm effluent ponds</p> <p>(iv) poultry farm effluent</p> <p>and any ancillary <i>discharge</i>^A of <i>contaminants</i>^A into air pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA.</p>			<p>(f) (g) the matters referred to in the <i>conditions</i>^A of Rule 14-11 and the matters of control in Rule 14-11</p> <p>(g) (h) avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of odour, dust, <i>fertiliser</i>[*] drift or effluent drift</p> <p>(h) (i) provision of information including the annual <i>nutrient management plan</i>[*]</p> <p>(i) (j) duration of consent</p> <p>(j) (k) review of consent <i>conditions</i>^A</p> <p>(k) (l) compliance monitoring</p> <p>(l) (m) the matters in Policy 14-9.</p>
Rule 14-2A Existing intensive farming land^A use activities not complying with condition, standard, term (c) of Rule 14-1 or Rule 14-2.	<p>The use of <i>land</i>^A pursuant to s9(2) RMA for any of the following intensive farming:</p> <p>(i) <i>dairy farming</i>[*]</p> <p>(ii) <i>commercial vegetable growing</i>[*]</p> <p>(iii) <i>cropping</i>[*]</p> <p>(iv) <i>intensive sheep and beef farming</i>[*]</p> <p>that was existing in the <i>Water Management Sub-zones</i>[*] listed in and from the dates specified in Table 14.1, and any of the following <i>discharges</i>^A pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA associated with intensive farming, that do not comply with conditions, standards and term (c) of Rule 14.1 or one or</p>	Discretionary		

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Rule	Activity	Classification	Conditions/Standards/Terms	Control/Discretion Non-Notification
	<p>more of the <i>conditions</i>^A, standards and terms of Rule 14-2:</p> <p>(f) <u>the discharge^A of fertiliser^A onto or into land^A</u></p> <p>(g) <u>the discharge^A of contaminants^A onto or into land^A from</u></p> <p>(i) <u>the preparation, storage, use or transportation of stock feed on production land^A</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>the use of a feedpad^A</u></p> <p>(h) <u>the discharge^A of grade Aa biosolids^A or compost^A onto or into production land^A</u></p> <p>(i) <u>the discharge^A of poultry farm litter^A onto or into production land^A</u></p> <p>(j) <u>the discharge^A of farm animal effluent^A onto or into production land^A (or upon expiry or surrender of any existing consent for that discharge^A) including:</u></p> <p>(i) <u>effluent from dairy sheds and feedpads^A</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>effluent received from piggeries</u></p> <p>(iii) <u>sludge from farm effluent ponds</u></p> <p>(iv) <u>poultry farm effluent</u></p> <p><u>and any ancillary discharge^A of contaminants^A into air pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA.</u></p>			

...

Rule Guide:

The location of archaeological sites when defined by a single co-ordinate is unlikely to define the true extent of subsurface archaeological evidence. The 50 metre rule should apply from the outer perimeter of the site.

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

Some activities in *rare habitats**, *threatened habitats** and *at-risk habitats** are regulated by Rules 13-8 and 13-9. Discharges from agricultural activities at other locations are regulated as follows:

- (a) **Discharges not covered by rules** - Agricultural discharges pursuant to ss15(1) RMA that are not covered by the rules above are a **discretionary activity** under Rule 14-30.
- (b) ~~Activities that do not comply~~ - ~~Except for Rule 14-3, activities pursuant to ss15(1) or 15(2A) RMA that do not comply with the permitted or controlled activity rules above are a~~
discretionary activity under general Rule 14-30.

DRAFT Plan Change 2 wording - track changes version 20190617.docx

ITEM 8.1 Existing Intensive Farming Land Uses Plan Change (Plan Change 2)

Evaluation of the Objectives of the Plan Change
Required by Section 32 RMA (Supplementary)

Report No.	19-104
Decision Required	

ZERO CARBON BILL

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. This report provides members with an overview of the **Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill (the Bill)**, and seeks approval of the attached draft submission to Parliament's Environment Committee.

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2.1. The Bill's purpose is to provide a framework to develop clear and stable policies for New Zealand's contribution to global efforts to limit average temperature increase to 1.5° Celsius. It would set emissions targets (split between long- and short-lived gases), and introduce emissions budgets and an emissions reduction plan to support transition to meet the targets. The Bill would also address adaptation through a national risk assessment and a national adaptation plan.
- 2.2. The Bill would establish an independent Climate Change Commission with responsibility to develop these instruments, report on their implementation and review their effectiveness. Legal consequences for failing to meet budgets and targets, or take them into account, are extremely limited.
- 2.3. A draft submission on the Bill is attached as **ANNEX A** for Council's approval. It includes support for the overarching purpose and framework set out in the Bill while raising a number of concerns around matters including lack of clarity on responsibility for implementation, and the role of local government.

3. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-104;
- b. notes that the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill would set emissions reduction targets, establish a Climate Change Commission and provide mechanisms to support planning for and implementation of climate change mitigation and adaptation;
- c. approves the Chief Executive's draft submission to Parliament's Environment Committee on the Bill.

4. FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 4.1. There is no impact on existing budgets as a result of this report. However, the enactment of this legislation in its current form would likely generate significant costs for land users, business owners, local authorities and their communities.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- 5.1. Community engagement around the development of the Bill has been led by the Minister for Climate Change, Hon. James Shaw, and the Ministry for the Environment.

6. SIGNIFICANT BUSINESS RISK IMPACT

- 6.1. While there is no immediate risk associated with this report, uncertainty around climate change impacts and local government roles and responsibilities around adaptation, mitigation and transition will continue to need careful attention and agile responses.

7. OVERVIEW OF THE BILL

- 7.1. The purpose of this Bill is to provide a framework to develop clear and stable policies for New Zealand's contribution to global efforts to limit the global average temperature increase to 1.5° Celsius above pre-industrial levels, to meet its commitment as a signatory to the Paris Agreement.

- 7.2. The key aspects the Bill proposes are:

7.2.1. Set a 'split' emission reduction target for 2050:

- Net zero emissions of greenhouse gases, other than biogenic methane (methane from the waste and agriculture sectors) measured by calendar year from 2050 onwards; and
- Reductions in gross biogenic methane emissions using 2017 emissions as the baseline – 10 percent less by 2030, and at least 24 to 47 percent less by 2050.

These targets would be reviewed regularly but changes in reduction levels or timeframes recommended only if there has been significant change around matters such as science, technology or implications for equity. The only effect of failing to meet the target is that a court may make a declaration to that effect and may award costs.

- ### 7.2.2. Establish a Climate Change Commission, made up of appointed members with, collectively, a broad range of experience (including local government) and expertise around climate change mitigation and adaptation in the New Zealand context. The Commission's functions would include:

- reviewing (and potentially recommending changes to) the emissions reduction targets;
- advising the Minister¹ around preparation of, and recommending any amendments to, emissions budgets and an emissions reduction plan (described in paragraphs 7.2.3 and 7.2.4 below);
- reporting on progress towards emissions budgets and targets, implementation of the national adaptation plan (see paragraph 7.2.5), and other aspects of mitigation and adaptation at the Minister's request; and
- preparing national climate change risk assessments, which assess the risks to New Zealand's economy, society, environment and ecology, and identify the most significant risks.

The Commission would be required to act independently and make its advice publicly available; however, the Minister would be able to direct it to take Government policy into account for some of its work. There are also requirements for the Minister to respond to advice including, in some cases, explaining the reasons for deviating from it.

- ### 7.2.3. Require setting of emissions budgets by the Minister, on the advice of the Climate Change Commission. The first three budgets, covering periods between 2022 and 2035, would be set by 31 December 2021. Budgets would have to be met as far as possible through domestic emission reductions and removals (offsets such as sequestration and storage). If they are changed, the Minister must explain the reasons. The Bill sets out a list of matters that the Commission must take into account in its advice on budgets, including scientific advice, the need to be ambitious but technically and economically feasible, and the results

¹ The Minister given responsibility for administering the legislation by the Prime Minister.

of public consultation. As with the emissions target, the only effect of failing to meet a budget is that a court can make a declaration and award costs.

7.2.4. Prepare an emissions reduction plan, which would set out the policies and strategies for meeting an emissions budget, on the advice of the Commission. This would include:

- Sector-specific policies for emission reduction and increased removals;
- A multi-sector strategy to meet budgets and improve those sectors' ability to adapt to climate change effects; and
- A strategy to mitigate the effects of transition on workers, regions, iwi and Māori, and wider communities, including funding for action.

Wide public consultation by the Commission is required as it develops its advice.

7.2.5. Prepare a national adaptation plan: the Minister would do this in response to the national risk assessment, setting out Government objectives, and strategies, policies, proposals and time frames to meet them. This would include measures and indicators for monitoring and reporting on progress. It would take into account a range of factors including technical and scientific advice, the distribution of effects of climate change across society, and the ability of communities or organisations to undertake adaptation actions and how actions may be funded. The Commission would report on implementation and effectiveness of each plan every two years.

7.2.6. Require information to be provided by 'reporting agencies' (which includes local authorities), both on request to the Minister and through regulations.

8. IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION

8.1. The Bill sets emissions targets and sets up a framework to facilitate its achievement through emissions budgets, plans, and monitoring and reporting on progress. It emphasises continuity and stability, aiming to provide a basis for sectors, industries and communities to understand what they will need or be required to do, and plan accordingly. It should be noted that, if passed, there would be considerable further development of strategy and policy required; the Bill includes opportunities to contribute and influence this through public consultation requirements. However, there is a concerning lack of clarity around how and the policy and strategy mechanisms in the Bill will roll-out – who would be implementing them, and their responsibilities would be.

8.2. While the Bill would require public reporting and the Minister to provide information, responses and explanations, the only other mechanism to address failure to meet the emissions targets or budgets is a court declaration. It is unclear who would be responsible for defending any court proceedings taken under this legislation or liable for any award of costs.

8.3. The target and budget provisions are permissive – that is, they can be taken into account when carrying out a public function, power or duty, but failure to do so does not invalidate those actions. The consequences of not implementing the legislation are likely to be to national, Government or organisational reputation.

8.4. Government consulted widely on the policy direction for this legislation during 2018. Horizons gave feedback on the proposals, and endorsed **Local Government New Zealand's (LGNZ)** submission on behalf of the local government sector. LGNZ supported the setting of an emissions reduction target in law, and that this should be a split target of net zero long-lived gases and stabilised short-term gases; the Bill has taken this approach.

Government has set the methane targets based on technical advice that they are necessary both to meet the 1.5° C target, and challenging but achievable².

- 8.5. Within the Bill, local government's role is explicitly set out only in relation to providing information, as noted in paragraph 7.2.6 above. Incrementally increasing and costly requirements for local government reporting and information provision is a recurring component of central government policy; there is potential for this to be onerous, particularly where new data is needed or different parts of government do not coordinate their requirements.
- 8.6. The Bill covers both adaptation and mitigation of climate change effects. The role of the local government sector in adaption in particular has not been clarified, contrary to LGNZ's 2018 submission. While in practice the Ministry for the Environment has indicated it expects to work closely with local government as it completes the first National Climate Change Risk Assessment (due June 2020 and already underway) is completed, we cannot be confident this engagement will come from a position of active consideration of implications across the range of obligations and requirements being imposed on local authorities from across government.
- 8.7. Horizons' 2018 feedback stressed the need for Government to make urgent and significant progress to allocate funding for the costs of adaptation, and the roles and responsibilities around that funding. This is not explicitly addressed in the Bill; nor is it particularly clear that it will be addressed through the National Adaptation Plan, which requires only that the Minister take into account communities' or organisations' ability to undertake adaptation action, including how action will be funded, when preparing the Plan. It is clearer that funding to assist transition effects will be a consideration in emissions reduction planning.
- 8.8. The **Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS)** is a key policy mechanism to support achievement of and compliance with emissions targets. However, performance of the ETS is considered to be variable, and not all sectors are included. Improvements to the ETS announced in December 2018 and May 2019 included alignment with any future emissions targets, improved transparency, and strengthened compliance mechanisms; these will be put in place through a further amendment to the Climate Change Response Act. Local authorities do not have a direct role in implementing the ETS; however, there is potential for Horizons' non-regulatory programmes such as SLUI (the Sustainable Land Use Initiative) to be undermined by the proposed changes.
- 8.9. Council has already agreed to the development of a Climate Change Strategy by the organisation, including both mitigation and adaptation. As the mechanisms within the Bill roll out and give direction to the sector, the Strategy would need to be brought into alignment if necessary.

9. HORIZONS' SUBMISSION

- 9.1. A draft submission on the Bill is attached as **ANNEX A** for members' approval. The key points it covers are:
 - Support in principle for the legislation's purpose of providing a framework for clear and stable climate change policy development, and the Bill's focus on both mitigation and adaptation;

² Office of the Minister for Climate Change (2019). Biogenic methane reductions required under the climate change Bill cabinet paper [2019-C-05435]. Retrieved from <https://www.mfe.govt.nz/sites/default/files/media/Climate%20Change/Biogenic%20methane%20reductions%20required%20under%20the%20climate%20change%20Bill%20Cabinet%20paper%20%5B2019-C-05435%5D.pdf>

- Support for the legislation to set emissions targets and supporting mechanisms including emissions budgets and the emissions reduction plan;
- Note that the biogenic methane emission target in particular may present significant challenges to our region, particularly when considered alongside other pressures;
- Support for preparation of a national risk assessment and a national adaptation plan in principle, while seeking explicit clarification of the role of local government;
- Note that considerable additional policy development is needed to meet the Bill's objectives;
- Concern that insufficient attention has been paid to aligning this Bill with statutory responsibilities under other legislation;
- Support for public engagement requirements;
- Expectation that regional variation will be taken into account;
- Concern regarding the lack of clarity around responsibility for the roll-out of implementation of the Bill's mechanisms, and the Bill's recourse provisions;
- Seek clarification of ETS incentives and safeguards, given its variable performance to date and the links between the ETS and this Bill; and
- Concern around further reporting requirements and the significant cost this is likely to generate for local government.

9.2. LGNZ has indicated it expects its draft submission on the Bill to be available by 21 June; this will be circulated to members prior to this meeting. Horizons draft submission includes a placeholder supporting LGNZ's submission.

10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1. Formal, consultation on the Bill is being conducted through the parliamentary select committee process, with public submissions to be considered by the Environment Committee.
- 10.2. If passed, there are a number of the mechanisms in the legislation (including setting of emissions budgets and development of the emissions reduction plan) that will require public consultation by the Climate Change Commission.

11. TIMELINE / NEXT STEPS

- 11.1. Submissions on the Bill close on July 16; the Environment Committee is due to report back to Parliament on 21 October. Following that, the Bill will return to Parliament to be debated and finalised before the decision on whether or not it should become law.

12. SIGNIFICANCE

- 12.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement.

Pen Tucker
SENIOR POLICY ANALYST

Rebecca Tayler
MANAGER POLICY & STRATEGY

ANNEXES

- A Draft Horizons Submission on the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill

< Date >

Committee Secretariat
Environment Committee
Parliament Buildings
WELLINGTON

File ref: ROA 05 01
PAT:MLB

Dear Sir or Madam

HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL SUBMISSION – CLIMATE CHANGE RESPONSE (ZERO CARBON) AMENDMENT BILL

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on this Bill. Horizons Regional Council (Horizons) is the regional authority for the Manawatū-Whanganui Region.

Horizons believes that our region is a great place to live, work and play. Our responsibilities include managing the region's natural resources, flood control, monitoring air and water quality, pest control, facilitating economic growth, leading regional land transport planning, and coordinating our region's response to natural disasters.

Looking ahead, the continued effects of climate change have significant implications for the communities we serve, and for our region in relation to both mitigation and adaptation. We take strong interest in Government's policy response, and therefore the proposals in this Bill.

Horizons welcomes the overall purpose of the Bill and understands the issues it seeks to address. We agree that there needs to be clear and stable policy direction to enable government, sectors, industries and communities to understand their contribution. We also appreciate that climate change is not one single issue, and therefore requires an integrated and coordinated approach.

We see the development of a Bill as an important first step in providing policy direction, and note that a significant amount of underpinning policy development will need to be carried out in a tight timeframe if the Government's stated objectives are to be met. There is a question of whether there is sufficient capacity and resource available within Government to meet this timeframe, which raises the risk of compromising meaningful integration and buy-in across all affected sectors.

The establishment of emissions targets and a supporting framework of emissions budgets and reduction planning has the potential to provide a long-term outlook and a framework for sectors, industries, communities and individuals to work to; and we endorse the proposal for strong public engagement in any of these processes.

However, there is a concerning gap between the mechanisms in the Bill to establish policy and strategy, and the roll-out of any implementation. We respectfully submit that the targets and their measurement need to have a clear link through to implementation to achieve any meaningful difference – and that this needs to be well established through this legislation. In our view, if this is not inserted in this Bill, it will take years to get right.

Adoption and implementation

While supportive of the principle of setting targets, the Bill does not take an integrated and coordinated approach. Many of the communities within our region are already facing ongoing pressures around

biosecurity, increased central government regulation, wider social expectations focused on environmental issues, and fluctuations in international economic conditions.

Horizons anticipates that the policy work that supports this Bill will take into account regional variation. With this in mind, we acknowledge and support the proposal that the emissions reduction plan will include a focus on addressing the effects of transition to a low-emissions economy. This recognises that, as an example, meeting the biogenic methane emission target could present significant challenges to regional communities such as the Manawatū-Whanganui Region. Our region has an economy highly geared towards primary production without the buffer of significant, densely populated urban communities over which this costs can be spread. We note that these types of regional variations may create a challenge in developing a set of nationwide targets, and therefore expect they will be actively considered in further policy development. This also has implications for adaptation and responsiveness.

We support the Bill's focus on both adaptation and mitigation. Horizons has been undertaking work on a range of regulatory and non-regulatory measures to address these areas, and is pleased to see Government's recent – but modest – investment in some transition and transformation support for target sectors.

We are not confident that sufficient attention has been paid to alignment of the framework proposed by this Bill with statutory responsibilities under other legislation (such as the Resource Management Act). Adding to the complexity of resource management duties is unlikely to achieve better outcomes.

The Bill does not provide clarity of the role of local government in adaptation, and it would benefit from explicitly stating roles and responsibilities – or that the local Government sector has no responsibility whatsoever. Adaptation will require systems change and significant support over time, and a hierarchy at national, regional and district / community level could assist individuals and communities to make great progress.

Of concern in this regard is the linkage and dependence on the changes to the Emissions Trading Scheme provisions of the Climate Change Response Act. The performance and progress of the Emissions Trading Scheme has been variable, and the proposals do not give Horizons confidence that the appropriate incentives and safeguards will be included. Our preference is that the Bill set these out clearly to reflect the principle aims of the Zero Carbon proposals.

Risk assessment and reporting

Horizons supports the concept of preparation of a national risk assessment. Local government has built an excellent response capability and capacity for adverse weather events and natural hazards. However, we would strongly support consideration of risk assessment providing leadership in issues that become vexed for communities, brought about from the incremental and ongoing effects resulting from climate change (for example, managed retreat from coastal areas and at risk waterways). This may also require statutory powers to enable direction, and require compliance.

We are hesitant to support the inclusion of further reporting requirements; on the face of it, the proposals appear to support a deliberate cost shifting from the taxpayer to the ratepayer. While we can appreciate the need for information to monitor, report and track progress on key climate change metrics, we are concerned that sufficient consideration has not been given to the rationale for what is being collected and why.

As an organisation with significant expertise in environmental data establishment, collection, monitoring and analysis (including establishing LAWA and collaborating regularly with NASA), Horizons is well placed to understand the cost of new data collection and management. We question the robustness of the cost-benefit analysis in this area of policy development that supports the Bill.

We also make the strong submission for acknowledgement and recognition that every additional reporting requirement, and the monitoring needed to collect the data, imposes a further cost to our communities or gives rise to an opportunity cost. Horizons seeks a clear, well integrated approach to any monitoring and reporting Government requires of the local government sector. This should include policy and operational support for the sector to develop coordinated and efficient reporting processes.

Other matters

Horizons notes the proposal to establish a Climate Change Commission, and that the entity would have independence to make recommendations but is unequipped to make decisions of any bearing. This raises questions of the integrity of the proposed policy framework, and how Ministers and the Government of the Day could be held to account. This may also create greater emphasis and weight on the role of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment, and an inequity between the entities. While not intentional, the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment may be in the delicate position of undermining the role of the Commission.

The recourse for action around failure to meet targets (for example, Court action) lacks clarity. We are unsure if it is intended that a future portfolio Minister for Climate Change or Environment (or their respective organisations) could be taken to Court on behalf of the failure of New Zealand to meet targets. Further to this difficulty of understanding the defending parties to any Court proceedings, there are also questions as to what would be achieved, and who would pay the costs of any relief sought.

Horizons endorses Local Government New Zealand's submission on behalf of the local government sector where it is not inconsistent with the content of our submission and the matters raised herein. Horizons' elected members approved this submission at the Regional Council meeting on 25 June 2019. We reserve the right to speak to our submission.

Yours sincerely,

Michael McCartney
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Report No.	19-105
Decision Required	

CHILDCARE ALLOWANCE - DETERMINATION 2019-20

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. This item presents for discussion, clause 14 Childcare allowance of the Local Government Members (2019-20) Determination 2019.

2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-105 and Annex.
- b. agrees to approve, or not approve payment of a Childcare allowance as per the 2019-20 Determination.
- c. notes that if approved, the necessary provisions will be included in the Councillors' Allowances and Reimbursements Policy for Council adoption at its 27 August 2019 meeting.

3. FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 3.1. Any budget for Childcare payment allowances has not been included in the Annual Plan 2019-20. However, adoption of this allowance is not expected to have a significant effect on the existing Members' Allowances budget.

4. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- 4.1. This is a public item and therefore Council may deem this sufficient to inform the public.

5. SIGNIFICANT BUSINESS RISK IMPACT

- 5.1. There is no significant business associated with details in this report.

6. BACKGROUND

- 6.1. The Remuneration Authority sets allowances for the Chair and elected members of Council. In the Local Government Members (2019-20) Determination 2019, provision has been made for Council to decide whether or not to pay a contribution towards childcare to Elected Members. The allowance is capped and is subject to certain conditions outlined in clause 14 of the determination (see Annex A).

7. TIMELINE / NEXT STEPS

- 7.1. If Council resolves to implement a Childcare allowance, then management will include the necessary provisions in the Councillors' Allowances and Reimbursements Policy for Council adoption at its 27 August 2019 meeting.
- 7.2. If approved by Council, the Childcare allowance payments will take effect from 1 July 2019.

8. SIGNIFICANCE

- 8.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement.

Craig Grant
GROUP MANAGER CORPORATE & GOVERNANCE

ANNEXES

- A Clause 14 Childcare Allowance

Local Government Members (2019/20) Determination
2019

cl 14

Pro-rating

- (6) If the member is not a member for the whole of the determination term, subclauses (2) to (5) apply as if each reference to an amount were replaced by a reference to an amount calculated in accordance with the following formula:

$$(a \div b) \times c$$

where—

- a is the number of days that the member held office in the determination term
- b is the number of days in the determination term
- c is the relevant amount specified in subclauses (2) to (5).
- (7) The Remuneration Authority may approve rules proposed by a local authority to meet the costs of installing and running special equipment or connections where, because of distance or restricted access, normal communications connections are not available.

14 Childcare allowance

- (1) A local authority may pay a childcare allowance, in accordance with subclauses (2) and (3), to an eligible member as a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business.
- (2) A member is eligible to be paid a childcare allowance in respect of childcare provided for a child only if—
- (a) the member is a parent or guardian of the child, or is a person who usually has responsibility for the day-to-day care of the child (other than on a temporary basis); and
- (b) the child is aged under 14 years of age; and
- (c) the childcare is provided by a person who—
- (i) is not a family member of the member; and
- (ii) does not ordinarily reside with the member; and
- (d) the member provides evidence satisfactory to the local authority of the amount paid for childcare.
- (3) A local authority must not pay childcare allowances to a member that total more than \$6,000 per annum, per child.
- (4) In this regulation, **family member of the member** means—
- (a) a spouse, civil union partner, or de facto partner;
- (b) a relative, that is, another person connected with the member within 2 degrees of a relationship, whether by blood relationship or by adoption.

9

Report No.	19-106
Information Only - No Decision Required	

ENERGY EFFICIENCY 'GREEN' PROGRAMME - UPDATE

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. The purpose of this report is to update Council on progress of the energy efficiency programme which aims to reduce Council's carbon emissions and to progressively adopt environmentally friendly ('green') practices.

2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-106.

3. FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 3.1 There is no financial impact from the recommendations contained in this item. The programme is funded through Council's existing assets and facilities maintenance capex / opex budget. Non-Asset associated spends normally sit within applicable groups opex budget.

4. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- 4.1. No community engagement is required.

5. SIGNIFICANT BUSINESS RISK IMPACT

- 5.1. There is no significant business risk associated with this report.

6. BACKGROUND

- 6.1. At the Regional Council meeting on 28 June 2016, a report was presented that provided details on the proposals received to reduce council's carbon emissions. The report identified the cost of the project and the deliverables. These included the development of a Carbon Emissions Strategy, Emissions Inventory and Implementation Plan. It was decided at the meeting that this project, with external support would not proceed due to limited staff and financial resources.
- 6.2. However, the Council recognised the benefit of reducing Council's carbon emissions and directed the Chief Executive to continue to look for opportunities to reduce organisational carbon emissions and progressively resource more environmentally friendly ('green') initiatives and report to Council yearly.
- 6.3. While not a formal programme, Horizons has continued to progress green initiatives and energy efficiency as opportunities arise as this approach reflects the values of Council.

7. DISCUSSION

7.1 Current and Enduring Energy Efficient Initiatives:

7.1.1. Fleet Management

The last transport solution review which examined the overall management of Council's vehicles was completed in July 2016. There were a few recommendations resulting from this report that improved Council's energy efficiencies and reduced carbon emissions. This included purchasing an electric vehicle and changing the vehicle replacement cycle from 6 years to 3-5 years in order to enable more fuel efficient and environmentally friendly vehicles. Horizons is now in the process of conducting an additional vehicle fleet review that may recommend additional efficiencies.

7.1.2. Buildings

Regional House in Palmerston North and the Marton Depot have had lighting replaced with more energy efficient LED lighting.

7.2. Energy Efficient Initiatives as part of the Victoria Ave Build

7.2.1. The Victoria Avenue building is designed to be energy efficient. This includes a thermal performing building envelope, low emissivity coating on glazing, solar assisted water heating, automated lighting, efficient air conditioning and gas heating.

7.2.2. Wiring and distribution board capacity has been provided for solar panels on the roof.

7.2.3. Capacity for 20+ electric vehicle charging points is provided.

7.2.4. Bike racks and shower facilities provided to encourage cycling to work of resident staff members.

7.3. Sustainable Land Use Initiative (SLUI)

7.3.1. Since inception (2006) there has been 1,568,380 Tonnes of CO₂ equivalents sequestered by SLUI works.

7.3.2. When these works reach maturity (30 years) they will have sequestered 11,518,519 Tonnes at an average annual rate of 383,951 Tonnes, this is the equivalent of the footprint of 22,323 New Zealanders (17.2 T per person per year) or 183 average sheep and beef farms.

8. SIGNIFICANCE

8.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement.

David Neal
BUSINESS SERVICES MANAGER

ANNEXES

There are no attachments for this report.

Report No.	19-107
Information Only - No Decision Required	

AFFIXING OF THE COMMON SEAL

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. This paper reports on documents to which Horizons Regional Council's Common Seal has been affixed.

2. RECOMMENDATION

That the Council:

- a. **acknowledges** the affixing of the Common Seal to the below mentioned documents.

3. FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 3.1. There is no additional financial impact.

4. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- 4.1. The community is able to see this information either in the agenda or on the Council's website.

5. COMMENT

- 5.1. The Common Seal has been affixed to the following documents:

a. **Under Chief Executive's Delegated Authority:**

- Lease - Renewal
Lessee: Vale Farm Limited
Area: 3.7549 ha
Location: Staces Road
Period: 1 September 2019 – 31 August 2028
- Lease – Renewal
Lessee: Eastern Dairy Limited
Area: 93.3383
Location: SH1/Whirokino Road
Period: 1 June 2016 – 30 May 2025
- Warrant Card
Biosecurity Act
Daniel Hurley
- Warrant Card
Biosecurity Act
Jared Booth
- Regional On Scene Commander
(Marine Oil Spills)
Ian Lowe
Replacing Warrant Card #506293

- Lease – Renewal
Lessee: Duncan J Campbell
Area: 26.0189 ha
Location: Humes Road
Period: 1 July 2019 – 30 June 2028
- Lease
Lessee: SG & LM Bradley
Area: 11.5 ha
Location: West Road, Hunterville
Period: 1 January 2019 – 31 December 2028
- Lease
Lessee: Eastern Dairy Limited
Area: 100.7669 ha
Location: SH1/Whirokino Road
Period: 4 August 2019 – 3 August 2028

b. **Under Urgency:**

Nil

c. **To be Approved:**

Nil

6. SIGNIFICANCE

- 6.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement.

Craig Grant

GROUP MANAGER CORPORATE & GOVERNANCE

ANNEXES

There are no attachments to this report.

Report No.	19-108
Information Only - No Decision Required	

COUNCILLORS' WORKSHOP ATTENDANCE - 22 MAY 2019 TO 18 JUNE 2019

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. This item is to note the Councillors' Workshop Attendance from 22 May 2019 to 18 June 2019.

2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-108 and Annex.

3. SIGNIFICANCE

- 3.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement.

Craig Grant
GROUP MANAGER CORPORATE & GOVERNANCE

ANNEXES

- A Workshop Attendance 22 May - 18 June 2019

HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL
COUNCILLORS' WORKSHOP ATTENDANCE
(for the period)
22 May 2019 to 18 June 2019

Date / Time	Details	Councillor Attendance
1.00 pm 28 May 19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insurance • Policy Planning (Pt 2) 	Present: Cr DB Cotton Cr EB Gordon Cr RJ Keedwell Cr GM McKellar Cr JM Naylor Cr NJ Patrick Cr PW Rieger Cr CI Sheldon Cr BE Rollinson Cr WK Te Awe Awe Apology: Cr JJ Barrow Cr LR Burnell
9.00 am 29 May 19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climate Change • One Plan – Plan Change Proposals 	Present: Cr DB Cotton Cr EB Gordon Cr RJ Keedwell Cr GM McKellar Cr JM Naylor Cr NJ Patrick Cr PW Rieger Cr CI Sheldon Cr BE Rollinson Apology: Cr JJ Barrow Cr LR Burnell Cr WK Te Awe Awe

Date / Time	Details	Councillor Attendance
2.30 pm 11 June 19	Chief Executive KPI Setting/Performance Management	Present: Cr LR Burnell Cr JJ Barrow Cr DB Cotton Cr EB Gordon Cr RJ Keedwell Cr GM McKellar Cr JM Naylor Cr NJ Patrick Cr PW Rieger Cr CI Sheldon Cr BE Rollinson Cr WK Te Awe Awe
Morning 12 June 19	Fluvial Survey of the Manawatu	Present: Cr JJ Barrow Cr DB Cotton Cr EB Gordon Cr RJ Keedwell Cr GM McKellar Cr JM Naylor Cr NJ Patrick Cr PW Rieger Cr CI Sheldon Cr BE Rollinson Cr WK Te Awe Awe Apology: Cr LR Burnell
Afternoon 12 June 19	One Plan – Plan Change Proposals	Present: Cr JJ Barrow Cr DB Cotton Cr EB Gordon Cr RJ Keedwell Cr GM McKellar Cr NJ Patrick Cr PW Rieger Cr CI Sheldon Cr BE Rollinson Cr WK Te Awe Awe Apology: Cr LR Burnell Cr JM Naylor

Report No.	19-109
Decision Required	

PRESENTATION: NAMING OF VICTORIA AVENUE DEVELOPMENT BUILDING

1. PRESENTATION

- 1.1 Mr Grant (Group Manager Corporate & Governance) will make a presentation on the naming of Victoria Avenue Development Building.

2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council:

- a. receives the presentation from Mr Grant, Group Manager Corporate & Governance.

3. SIGNIFICANCE

- 3.1. This is not a significant decision according to the Council's Policy on Significance and Engagement.

Craig Grant
GROUP MANAGER CORPORATE & GOVERNANCE

ANNEXES

There are no attachments for this report.

Report of the eleventh meeting of the tenth triennium of the Regional Transport Committee held at 10.30am on Wednesday 5 June 2019, in the Tararua Room, Horizons Regional Council, 11-15 Victoria Avenue, Palmerston North.

PRESENT Crs EB Gordon JP (Chair), RJ Keedwell, Mayor M Feyen (Horowhenua District Council), Mayor H Worboys (Manawatu District Council), Ms E Speight (New Zealand Transport Agency), Mayor G Smith (Palmerston North City Council), Mayor A Watson (Rangitikei District Council), Mayor D Cameron (Ruapehu District Council), Mayor T Collis (Tararua District Council), Mayor H McDouall (Whanganui District Council), Mr S Walker (Road Transport Association), Inspector D White (New Zealand Police) (to 12.38pm), Mr A Mills (KiwiRail), Ms S Lampkin (Active/Public Transport).

IN ATTENDANCE

Councillors	P Rieger
Group Manager Regional Services and Information	Mr G Shirley
Manager Transport Services	Mr P Hindrup
Committee Secretary	Mrs KA Tong

ALSO PRESENT At various times during the meeting:
Mrs K Curry (Senior Transport Planner), Mr A Mayston, Ms D Webster & Ms T Nyman (Road Safety Coordinators), Ms C Morrison (Media & Communications Manager), various territorial authority roading / asset managers, and a member of the Press.

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and introduced Ms Sal Lampkin representing Active/Public Transport, and Mr Anthony Mills representing KiwiRail.

APOLOGIES

RT 19-76 **Moved** **McDouall/Collis**
That an apology be received from Mr Christiansen (Road Users).
CARRIED

PUBLIC FORUMS / DEPUTATIONS / PETITIONS

There were no requests for public speaking rights.

SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

Report No. 19-83 – Variation to the Regional Land Transport Plan 2015-25 (2018 Review) Pahiatua Track Safety Improvements.

MEMBERS' CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RT 19-77 **Moved** **Feyen/Collis**

That the Committee:

confirms the minutes of the Regional Transport Committee meeting held on 5 March 2019 as a correct record, and notes that the recommendations were adopted by the Council on 26 March 2019.

CARRIED

ROAD SAFETY UPDATE

Report No 19-78

Mr Mayston (Road Safety Coordinator) gave a presentation which provided an update on road safety trends in the Region and advised Members of road death locations and contributing factors in fatal crashes. He mentioned the challenges in providing detailed analysis and information on contributing factors due to ongoing Police investigations and privacy concerns. Inspector White (New Zealand Police) highlighted a map showing fatalities on the region's roads and applauded the Councils who had reduced the speed on their roads. Members provided their comments and asked questions of clarification.

RT 19-78 **Moved** **Smith/Feyen**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-78.

CARRIED

APPROVED ORGANISATION QUARTERLY UPDATE

Report No 19-79

This report updated Members on significant regional roading, public transport, road safety and planning activities within the Horizons Regional Council region.

Mayor Feyen, **Horowhenua District Council**, mentioned the need of a speed restriction along SH57 for the length of Levin, and in particular his concerns regarding the Queen Street East and Arapaepae Road intersection.

The report for **Horizons Regional Council** was taken as read. Mr Hindrup (Manager Transport Services) and Mr Shirley (Group Manager Regional Services & Information) highlighted the attempts to secure additional capex funding for the Capital Connection beyond the already committed two year funding to ensure continuation of the service.

Mayor Worboys, **Manawatu District Council**, took the report as read and commented on the positive feedback received from rural schools with regard to the Safer Journeys to Schools Programme, and the completion of the business case for the Feilding to Palmerston North cycleway.

Mayor Smith, **Palmerston North City Council**, took the report as read and highlighted the upcoming official opening of the He Ara Kotahi Bridge on Friday 7 June. Mr Lane (Palmerston North City Council) commented on the current frustrations at the time needed to finalise pedestrian facilities on the James Line rail crossing.

Mayor Watson, **Rangitikei District Council**, took the report as read and highlighted the Mangaweka bridge proceedings and upcoming involvement in public discussions around the existing cantilevered bridge. He also mentioned several road safety issues in Bulls with vehicles attempting to turn right onto SH1.

Mayor Cameron, **Ruapehu District Council**, took the report as read and mentioned the future possibility for operating only green transport heading into National Park. He also gave an update on the gondolas which should be opening late June / early July.

Tararua District Council's report was taken as read and Mayor Collis mentioned disappointment in missing out on funding for the Route 52 planned upgrade but was looking forward to working with the Transport Agency on the upgrade. Also mentioned was the angst caused by the forestry trucks in association with the billion trees project.

Mayor McDouall, **Whanganui District Council**, commented on the Cycle Forward programme which aimed to encourage older people and those with disabling conditions to cycle, and he mentioned the progress with the Upukongaro Bridge.

RT 19-79 Moved Gordon/Smith

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-79 and Annex.

CARRIED

NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Report No 19-80

Ms Speight (New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)) spoke to a powerpoint presentation which provided Members with an update on the NZTA's regional and national activities, specifically: Te Ahu a Turanga (Manawatu Tararua Highway), Otaki to North of Levin (O2NL), Palmerston North Integrated Transport Investments (Regional Freight Ring Road). She also mentioned the challenging funding environment and the high number of carry overs from the previous National Land Transport Programme (NLTP). Ms Speight responded to Members' questions and comments.

RT 19-80 Moved Gordon/Smith

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-80.

CARRIED

VARIATION TO THE REGIONAL LAND TRANSPORT PLAN 2015-25 (2018 REVIEW): PAHIATUA TRACK SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS

Report No 19-83

This item introduced by Mr Hindrup (Manager Transport Services) considered a request from the New Zealand Transport Agency to vary the operative Regional Land Transport Plan 2015-25 (2018 Review) (RLTP). The variation was to include the Pahiatua Track Safety Improvements as a project in the RLTP as a road improvements activity.

RT 19-81 **Moved** **Smith/Collis**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-83 and Annex;*
- b. *recommends that the Regional Land Transport Plan 2015-25 (2018 Review) be varied to include the Pahiatua Track Safety Improvements as a road improvements activity.*

CARRIED

PRESENTATION ON TE ARAROA TRAIL

Report No 19-81

Mr Mark Weatherall, Chief Executive of Te Araroa Trust gave a presentation on the Te Araroa Trail. He mentioned a brief history of the trail, the numbers of walkers, and expressed concern at the percentage of the trail which was on roads. Members discussed with Mr Weatherall the option of him arranging a meeting with Mayors, Department of Conservation and Iwi to hear ideas and possible solutions to the amount of road walking on the trail.

RT 19-82 **Moved** **Keedwell/McDouall**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the presentation on the Te Araroa Trail.*

CARRIED

HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL PRESENTATION ON HOROWHENUA INTEGRATED TRANSPORT STRATEGY (HITS)

Report No 19-82

Mr Daniel Haigh, Growth Response Manager for Horowhenua District Council gave Members a presentation on the Horowhenua Integrated Transport Strategy (HITS).

Inspector David White left the meeting at 12.38pm.

Mr Haigh highlighted the focus of the project and mentioned several of the current focus areas and problems being experienced.

RT 19-83 **Moved** **Smith/Feyen**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-82.*

CARRIED

The meeting closed at 12.46pm.

Confirmed

MANAGER TRANSPORT SERVICES

CHAIR

Report of a meeting of the Manawatu River Users' Advisory Group held at Tararua Room, Horizons Regional Council, 11-15 Victoria Avenue, Palmerston North, at 7.00pm on Wednesday 5 June 2019.

PRESENT Crs LR Burnell, QSM (Chair), R Campbell (Horowhenua District Council), Mr R Strong (Group Manager River Management), Mr D Scott (Manawatu Freshwater Anglers Club/Fish & Game Councillor Wellington), Mr B Culley (River Warden), Mr I Taylor (Manawatu Freshwater Anglers Club/Honorary Enforcement Officer), Mr J Henry (Ruahine White Water Club), Mr S Durkin (Wellington Rowing Association), Mr R Anderson (Jet Boating New Zealand), Mr B Sprozen (Manawatu Power Boat Club), Mr D Collin (Manawatu Marine Boating Club), and Mrs C Burnell.

IN ATTENDANCE Area Engineer Mr P Joseph
Harbourmaster Mr R Brannigan
Committee Secretary Mrs JA Kennedy

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting and introduced a new attendee, Mr Don Scott. New attendees at the meeting, Mr Sean Durkin and Mr John Henry, introduced themselves to Members.

APOLOGIES

Moved **Burnell/Campbell**

That an apology be received from Cr Mason (Horowhenua District Council).

CARRIED

Following the meeting, an apology was received from Mr Kelvin Lane (Manawatu Estuary Trust).

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved **Campbell/Burnell**

That the Committee:

confirms the minutes of the Manawatu River Users' Advisory Group meeting held on 4 December 2018 as a correct record, and notes that the recommendations were adopted by the Council on 18 December 2019.

CARRIED

LOWER MANAWATU SCHEME (LMS) - RIVER MANAGEMENT UPDATE

Report No 19-57

This item informed Members of the Manawatu River Users' Advisory Group of Lower Manawatu Scheme management issues that may have some impact on the recreational use of the river over the period June 2019 to December 2019. Mr Joseph (Area Engineer Central) summarised the information in the report. He noted the failed attempt to remove railway irons from the river training works adjacent to Hault's Yard on the Manawatu River, commented on the official

ceremony to be held on Friday 7 June 2019 to open the new pedestrian bridge over the Manawatu River in Palmerston North, and responded to Members' questions.

Moved **Burnell/Campbell**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-57.

CARRIED

HARBOURMASTER'S REPORT

Report No 19-58

This report provided Members with an update on matters relating to navigation and safety on the Manawatu River and its tributaries. Mr Brannigan (Harbourmaster) took Members through the river events outlined in paragraph 7 and highlighted activities in relation to a Catamaran named 'The Beast' which was assembled and fitted out at Foxton Beach, prior to being launched in early March. He outlined the measures undertaken over the past summer periods to monitor recreational activities at the Manawatu Estuary and Whirokino and the ongoing education to encourage responsible use of the waterways. Mr Brannigan updated Members on the safety measures undertaken throughout the construction period for the new Manawatu River Bridge build, and advised that the closure of the Manawatu Gorge to on-water activities would be considered once the contents of a GNS Science report, due for completion on 30 June 2019, had been completed.

Members discussed how the advertising campaign for the promotion of water safety in the Manawatu-Whanganui region could be improved, highlighted difficulties with navigating the Horizons Regional Council website, and commented on the possibility of keeping the old Manawatu River bridge south of Foxton to be used as a cycleway/pedestrian walkway. Mr Joseph noted that repair costs and ongoing maintenance costs were prohibitive.

Mr Durkin, Wellington Rowing Association commented on the attraction for Wellington rowers to travel to Whirokino for flat water rowing. Mr Sprozen, Manawatu Power Boat Club (MPBC) outlined MPBC's intention to hold future events on the River and commented on the possibilities for enhancing the boating launch area at Whirokino.

Moved **Burnell/Campbell**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-58.

CARRIED

GENERAL BUSINESS

Mr Don Scott (Manawatu Freshwater Anglers Club/Fish & Game Councillor Wellington) commented on the concerns raised by a number of anglers who had approached the Wellington Fish & Game Council about the declining catch of fish numbers in the Manawatu River system. The anglers were concerned about their sport and the fact that angling prospects were diminishing. Mr Scott believed the problem lay with the spawning areas and explained why. He advocated for spawning research to be undertaken to assess the extent of spawning by adult trout. He also supported cooperation between Horizons Regional Council scientists and Fish & Game. Mr Brannigan (Harbourmaster) advised Mr Scott that the best forum to address his concerns would be Horizons Regional Council Environment Committee. Mr Scott was given

details of when the next Environment Committee would be held, and the process to apply for a public forum.

The Chair thanked everyone for their attendance.

The meeting closed at 8.40pm.

Confirmed

HARBOURMASTER

CHAIR

Report of the sixteenth meeting of the tenth triennium of the Catchment Operations Committee held at 9.00am on Tuesday 11 June 2019, in the Tararua Room, Horizons Regional Council, 11-15 Victoria Avenue, Palmerston North.

PRESENT Crs DB Cotton (Chair), JJ Barrow, LR Burnell QSM, EB Gordon JP (ex officio) (to 10.21am and from 12.05pm), RJ Keedwell (to 10.21am and from 11.56am), GM McKellar (from 9.24am), JM Naylor, NJ Patrick, PW Rieger QSO JP, BE Rollinson, CI Sheldon, and WK Te Awe Awe.

IN ATTENDANCE Chief Executive Mr M McCartney
Committee Secretary Mrs KA Tongs/Mrs JA Kennedy

ALSO PRESENT At various times during the meeting:
Mr R Strong (Group Manager River Management), Dr J Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources & Partnerships), Mr G Shirley (Group Manager Regional Services & Information), Dr N Peet (Group Manager Strategy & Information), Mr J Bell (Manager Investigations & Design), Mr G Cooper (Manager Land & Partnerships), Mr C Veale (Programme Coordinator), Mr M Todd (Environmental Programme Coordinator), Mr P Joseph (Area Engineer), Mr I Lowe (Manager Emergency Management Office), Mr R Brannigan (Senior Emergency Management Coordinator), Ms C Kitson (Project Engineer), Ms S Boyte (Scientist - Land), Ms E Whale (River Management Analyst), Ms S Dellow & Mr C Worts (GNS Science staff), Dr S Vale (Geomorphologist from Manaaki Whenua), Ms C Morrison (Manager Media & Communications).

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and invited Cr Te Awe Awe to say a Karakia.

APOLOGIES

It was noted that Crs Gordon and Keedwell, plus the Chief Executive, would be leaving the meeting from approximately 10.30am – 12.00pm to attend other Council business – National Land Transport Plan Roadshow.

An apology for lateness was received from Cr McKellar.

PUBLIC FORUMS / DEPUTATIONS / PETITIONS

There were no requests for public speaking rights.

SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

There were no supplementary items to be considered.

MEMBERS' CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

SUSTAINABLE LAND USE INITIATIVE FUNDING

Report No 19-94

This item updated the Committee on changes to the Sustainable Land Use Initiative (SLUI) funding over the next four financial years as a result of the Hill Country Erosion Fund (HCEF) application and subsequent contract negotiations. The report also overviewed some changes to the SLUI programme as part of the new HCEF contract and in response to increasing interest in afforestation in the Region. Dr Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources & Partnerships) introduced the item, explained the background to the funding and took Members through each of the recommendations. After consideration of the recommendations by Members, Cr Barrow proposed a new recommendation e. Members discussed the proposed recommendation, provided their views and sought clarification.

This item was adjourned at 10.22am.

Crs Gordon & Keedwell left the meeting at 10.22am.

PRESENTATION: MANAWATU GORGE LANDSLIDE DAM ASSESSMENT

Report No 19-90

Ms Sally Dellow and Mr Chris Worts from GNS Science made a presentation on the methodologies and techniques employed with the assessment and the conclusions drawn from assessing the potential for landslide dams in the Manawatu Gorge, and answered Members' questions.

COP 19-115 Moved Sheldon/Burnell

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in the presentation from GNS Science.*

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 10.50am.

The meeting reconvened at 11.03am.

HILL COUNTRY EROSION AND SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE MANAWATU WHANGANUI REGION

Report No 19-94

This report updated on the issue of sedimentation of rivers in the Manawatū-Whanganui region, and included an overview of the various programmes to manage that, including hill country erosion management, science and monitoring and linking the work in the catchment with outcomes in the river for water quality and flood protection. Dr Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources & Partnerships) introduced the item and highlighted tables 1, 6 and 7 which depicted percentages of highly erodible land in our region. Ms Boyte (Scientist - Land) mentioned the impact of climate change on sediment loads and the current studies on sediment and erosion control. Dr Simon Vale (Geomorphologist from Manaaki Whenua) gave a presentation on the programme overview and structure of Smarter Targeting of Erosion Control (STEC) and answered Member's questions.

COP 19-116 Moved Sheldon/Burnell

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-94 and Annex.

CARRIED

MANAWATU & OROUA GRAVEL RESOURCE STUDIES

Report No 19-89

Ms Whale (River Management Analyst) gave a presentation to Members about the findings of an updated assessment of the gravel resource in the lower reach (downstream of the Gorge) of the Manawatū River.

Cr Keedwell rejoined the meeting at 11.56am.

Ms Whale highlighted findings with regard to the gravel reach and silt phase, gravel extraction and the One Plan reaches, and Members' questions were answered.

Cr Gordon rejoined the meeting at 12.05pm.

COP 19-117 Moved Naylor/Te Awe Awe

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-89.

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 12.20pm.

The meeting reconvened at 1.00pm.

SUSTAINABLE LAND USE INITIATIVE FUNDING (Continued)

Report No 19-94

Cr Patrick proposed a new recommendation, f. and spoke in support of it. Cr Barrow amended the wording to his previously proposed recommendation e. Discussion ensued with Members having the opportunity to express their views around the proposed recommendations and seek clarification. Dr Roygard explained any implications/consequences associated with recommendation e. and explained the principles associated with recommendation d.i. and d.ii. Cr McKellar foreshadowed an amendment to the wording of proposed recommendation e. in the event that it failed.

The Chair separated out the recommendations with a division called for recommendation e.

COP 19-118 Moved Barrow/Te Awe Awe

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-94.*
- b. *endorses the approach of providing Sustainable Land Use Initiative assistance (mapping and funding) to farms with Sustainable Land Use Initiative Whole Farm Plans, Sustainable Land Use Initiative Whole Farm Maps, Sustainable Land Use Initiative Paddock Maps, and Whanganui Catchment Strategy Plans.*
- c. *endorses changes to the Sustainable Land Use Initiative programme as a result of the new Hill Country Erosion Fund funding contract as outlined in paragraph 7.5 and 8.21,*
- d. *endorses the changes to Sustainable Land Use Initiative grant funding as outlined below:*
 - i. *encourage and support landowners to seek funding support for hill country erosion works through the 1 billion trees programme;*
 - ii. *for radiata afforestation grants remaining within the Sustainable Land Use Initiative apply the approach in paragraph 8.12.*

CARRIED

- e. *strongly discourages funding to those properties that do not enable the continuance of pastoral farming on land not considered top, or high priority land under the Sustainable Land Use Initiative programme.*

Against: Crs Keedwell, Naylor, Patrick, Cotton

For: Crs Barrow, Burnell, Gordon, McKellar, Rieger, Rollinson, Sheldon, Te Awe Awe

CARRIED

- f. *directs the Chief Executive to support the Chair to write a letter to the Minister and Chief Executive of Ministry of Primary Industries to seek analysis and action on unintended consequences including environmental risks and socio-economic damage to rural communities of some elements of the One Billion trees programme, and to advocate for stronger alignment with the 'right tree, right place' approach to implementation*

CARRIED

RIVER AND DRAINAGE ENGINEERING REPORT

Report No 19-86

This item reported on progress with river and drainage activities for the period 9 April to 11 June 2019. Mr Strong (Group Manager River Management) took Members through the report, provided updates on various activities, and responded to Members' questions of clarification. A series of photographs were shown in support of several of the activities.

COP 19-119 Moved Burnell/Patrick

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-86.*

CARRIED

FISH PASSAGE UPDATE

Report No 19-87

This item updated Committee Members on progress with improving native fish species populations in scheme drains. Mr Strong (Group Manager River Management) introduced the item and outlined the ongoing work to identify potential solutions and costs, and reported on achievements and remedial options available. He and Mr Foxall (Area Engineer Southern) responded to Members' questions, and there was comment around the need for future funding.

COP 19-120 Moved Barrow/Patrick

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-87 and Annexes.*

CARRIED

RURAL UPGRADE PROJECT UPDATE (OMS 15 36)

Report No 19-88

This item updated Members on progress with implementing the Lower Manawatu Scheme (LMS) Rural Flood Protection Upgrade Project (RUP). Mr Strong (Group Manager River Management) introduced the report and highlighted a map showing progress on the project. Mr Strong commented on achievements to date, explained the final packages of work to deliver in the 2019-20 construction season, and highlighted some of the primary delivery risks and mitigation strategies.

COP 19-121 Moved Naylor/Keedwell

That the Committee recommends that Council:

- a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-88; and*
- b. *notes progress with implementing the Lower Manawatu Scheme Rural Flood Protection Upgrade Project.*

CARRIED

The meeting closed at 2.22pm.

Confirmed

CHIEF EXECUTIVE

GROUP MANAGER RIVER MANAGEMENT

GROUP MANAGER NATURAL RESOURCES
AND PARTNERSHIP

Report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the tenth triennium of the Strategy and Policy Committee held at 9.00am on Wednesday 12 June 2019, in the Tararua Room, Horizons Regional Council, 11-15 Victoria Avenue, Palmerston North.

PRESENT Crs EB Gordon JP (Chair), JJ Barrow, DB Cotton, RJ Keedwell, GM McKellar, JM Naylor, NJ Patrick (from 9.07am), PW Rieger QSO JP, BE Rollinson, CI Sheldon, WK Te Awe Awe.

IN ATTENDANCE Chief Executive Mr MJ McCartney
Group Manager
Corporate and Governance Mr C Grant
Committee Secretary Mrs JA Kennedy

ALSO PRESENT At various times during the meeting:

Mr R Strong (Group Manager River Management), Dr N Peet (Group Manager Strategy & Regulation), Mr G Shirley (Group Manager Regional Services & Information), Dr J Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources & Partnerships), Mr B Gilliland (Senior Policy Analyst), Ms R Tayler (Manager Policy & Strategy), Mr T Bowen (Principal Advisor), Mr J Bell (Manager Investigations & Design), Ms S Boyte (Environmental Scientist), Ms A Matthews (Science & Innovation Manager), Ms C Morrison (Media & Communications Manager), Mr J Clarke and Ms M Sands (Woodhaven Gardens), Mr Templer (Chief Executive Manawatu District Council), Mr P Callander, Mr N Thomas (Pattle Delamore Partners Limited), Mr P Wood (MidCentral Public Health Services).

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and invited Cr Te Awe Awe to say a Karakia.

APOLOGIES

SP 19-149 Moved Cotton/Keedwell

That the Committee receives an apology from Cr Burnell, and an apology from Cr Patrick for lateness.

CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

A paper in support of a public forum from Woodhouse Gardens was tabled for Members' information.

Item 8, Existing Intensive Farming Land Uses Plan Change (Plan Change 2) had been withdrawn by the Chief Executive and would be presented at the Council Meeting to be held on 25 June 2019.

Cr Patrick joined the meeting at 9.07am.

PUBLIC FORUMS / DEPUTATIONS / PETITIONS

The Chair welcomed Mr J Clarke and Ms M Sands (Woodhouse Gardens) who had been granted a public forum.

Mr Clarke outlined the nature of the Woodhouse Gardens business, noted the business was committed to improving farming systems, and summarised a list of activities undertaken to reduce its environmental footprint. He outlined the consequences of Plan Change 2 and explained that growers could not meet the nitrogen loss table. He asked that Council did not support the Plan Change 2 recommendations until it had worked with growers and Horticulture New Zealand to understand their business and understand what the consequences of Plan Change 2 would have on the industry. He also asked that Council considered alternatives to create a consenting pathway. Mr Clarke and Ms Sands clarified Members' questions.

MEMBERS' CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

SP 19-150 **Moved** **McKellar/Rieger**

That the Committee:

***confirms** the minutes of the Strategy and Policy Committee meeting held on 14 May 2019 as a correct record, and notes that the recommendations were received by the Council on 28 May 2019.*

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR REPORTS

Chair's Report

The Chair presented his report and commented on his attendance at the National Sustainability Showcase 2019 in Hamilton.

Councillors' Reports

Cr Te Awe Awe commented on his attendance at the opening of the He Ara Kotahi cycle and pedestrian bridge.

Cr Sheldon had been invited to talk at the Horowhenua Freshwater Anglers Club.

Cr Rollinson attended a Climate Change Public Meeting in Taumaranui and commented on his attendance at the Sustainable Land Use Initiative Advisory Committee meeting.

Cr Patrick attended a Nga Puna Rau Rangitikei meeting and she attend the first meeting of Te Kopuku.

Cr McKellar attended a Public Transport Service Advisory Group meeting on 22 May, attended a Manawatu District Council Meeting where Mr Strong (Group Manager River Management) gave an update on flood improvements, and he attended the opening of He Ara Kotahi.

Cr Keedwell highlighted her visit to Woodhaven Gardens, and outlined the activities of a Scout Group to trap pests in the Manawatu Gorge, enabled by a Community Grant. She commented on the Public Transport Service Advisory Group Meetings where community feedback could be provided around service improvements.

RECORDING OF COUNCILLORS' MEETING ATTENDANCE IN THE ANNUAL REPORT

Report No 19-85

This item gained Council's approval to provide more detail in the Annual Report with regard to the reporting of Councillors' attendance at both Council meetings, and the committees that individual Councillors were a member of. Mr Grant (Group Manager Corporate & Governance) introduced the item and noted the recommendations did not impact the requirements of Standing Orders. Members discussed the recommendations and provided their views. The recommendations were moved by Cr Keedwell with an amendment to recommendation c. A new recommendation was proposed for recording purposes in the Annual Report, regarding Councillor attendance at meetings in instances where they were absent on approved Council business. Approved Council business would be at the discretion of the Chair. In the event that recommendation c. was lost, Cr McKellar foreshadowed a return to the original recommendation c.

The Chair separated out each recommendation.

SP 19-151 **Moved** **Keedwell/Naylor**

That the Committee recommends that Council:

a. *receives the information contained in Report No. 19-85.*

CARRIED

b. *agrees to approve that a Councillor attending 75% of the duration of a meeting will count as full attendance of the meeting for the sole purpose of Annual Report reporting.*

CARRIED

c. *agrees to record full attendance of meetings in the Annual Report for each Councillor based on b. above.*

Against: Cr McKellar, Sheldon

CARRIED

d. *notes that if Councillors are absent while attending approved Council business it is still counted as attendance within the Annual Report.*

CARRIED

e. *notes that no change to Standing Orders is proposed.*

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 10.14am.

The meeting reconvened at 10.30am.

DRINKING WATER RESEARCH ANNUAL REPORT

Report No 19-84

This item provided Council with an annual report on drinking water research completed during the 2018-19 reporting year (with a focus on council-operated drinking water supplies), as required by Horizons' Long-term Plan 2018-28 (LTP). Dr Roygard (Group Manager Natural Resources & Partnerships) introduced the item and acknowledged Mr Thomas and Mr Callander (Pattle Delamore Partners Ltd), Mr Templer (Chief Executive Manawatu District Council), and Mr P Wood (MidCentral Public Health Services).

Mr Templer summarised the background to the formation of the regional drinking water work programme which was a collaborative programme including MidCentral DHB, Horizons and City and District Councils, and outlined its aim.

Ms Matthews (Science & Innovation Manager) took Members through the detail of the item which included a presentation update on the Regional Drinking Water Project. Clarification to Members questions was provided by Pattle Delamore Partners Limited, Mr Templer, Dr Roygard and Ms Matthews.

SP 19-152 *Moved* *McKellar/Cotton*

That the Committee recommends that Council:

a. receives the information contained in Report No. 19-84.

CARRIED

The meeting closed at 11.14am.

Confirmed

CHIEF EXECUTIVE

CHAIR

Public Excluded Section

RECOMMENDATION

That the public be excluded from the remainder of the Council meeting as the general subject matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48 (1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
PX1 Confirmation of Public Excluded Meeting held on 28 May 2019	s7(2)(a) - the withholding of the information is necessary to protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of a deceased person.	s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.
PX2 Council / Committee to consider whether any item in the Public Excluded minutes can be moved into the public domain and define the extent of the release		
PX3 Members' Questions		